FCM Capital Par	tners LLC v. Regent Corporate Consulting Limited et	al	Doc. <b>4</b> 9
1			
2			JS-6
2			30-0
3			
4			
5			
6			
7	UNITED STATI	ES DISTRICT COURT	
8	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
o			
9	FCM CAPITAL PARTNERS LLC,	Case No: 2:14-cv-07099-ODW-MAN	
10	Plaintiff,	ORDER DIMISSING ACTION WITHOUT PREJUDICE	
11	v.		
12	REGENT CORPORATE		
13	CONSULTING LIMITED; REGENT		
	TRUST; JOHN R. MILLER; JOHN GRICE; and DOES 1 through 5,		
14	inclusive,		
15	Defendants.		
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
		1	

Dockets.Justia.com

Beginning on September 23, 2016, the Court and Plaintiff have been in a pattern: the Court orders Plaintiff to show cause regarding the status of service of process in this case, (*See* ECF Nos. 43; 45; 48), and Plaintiff files a status report explaining that service of process has not been effectuated but that it is continually being attempted. *See* ECF Nos. 44; 47.) However, Plaintiff's last update was on December 23, 2016. (ECF No. 47.) Plaintiff failed to update the Court after 90 days regarding its attempts to serve John Grice and Regent Trust, and when the Court ordered Plaintiff to show cause as to the status of service by April 3, 2017, it received no response. (*See* ECF No. 48.) In the Court's last Order, it warned Plaintiff that "[f]ailure to timely respond will result in dismissal of the case without further notice." (*Id.*) As such, the Court hereby **DISMISSES** the action **without prejudice** for failure to respond to the Court's March 27, 2017, Order.

## IT IS SO ORDERED.

April 4, 2017

OTIS D. WRIGHT, II

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE