1		JS-6
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA - WESTERN DIVISION	
10	ROYCE MATHEW,	Case No. CV14-07832-RGK (AGRx)
11	Plaintiff,	(MORA)
12	v.	[PROPOSED] JUDGMENT
13	THE WALT DISNEY COMPANY; TED ELLIOTT; TERRY ROSSIO; JASON	[TROTOGED] WEDGINERY
14	SURRELL; MARTIN A. SKLAR; DISNEY ENTERPRISES, INC.; WALT	
15	DISNEY PICTURES (d/b/a BUENA VISTA MOTION PICTURES GROUP and	
16	THE WALT DISNEY STUDIOS, and f/k/a WALT DISNEY PICTURES AND	
17	TELEVISION); BUENA VISTA HOME ENTERTAINMENT, INC.; BUENA	
18	VISTA PICTURES DISTRIBUTION, INC.; BUENA VISTA TELEVISION, LLC	
19	(f/k/a BUENA VISTA TELEVISION); BUENA VISTA GAMES, INC.; FIRST	
20	MATE PRODUCTIONS, INC.: ABC.	
21	INC.; ABC ENTERPRISES, INC.; JERRY BRUCKHEIMER; JERRY BRUCKHEIMER, INC.; JERRY	
22	BRUCKHEIMER FILMS; and "JOHN DOES 1-50," WHOSE IDENTITY WILL	
23	BE ASCERTAINED DURING DISCOVERY TO INCLUDE	
24	ADDITIONAL PERSONS AND ENTITIES RESPONSIBLE FOR THE	
25	ALLEGED MISCONDUCT,	
26	Defendants.	
27		•
28 HOGAN LOVELLS US		
LLP Attorneys At Law Los Angeles		[PROPOSED] JUDGMENT

\\LA - 022031/000024 - 1168008 v1

Los Angeles

1	WHEREAS, Plaintiff Royce Mathew ("Mathew") filed an action (the		
2	"Action") against Defendant The Walt Disney Company and other captioned		
3	Defendants (collectively "Disney") in the United States District Court for the		
4	Southern District of New York, as Mathew v. The Walt Disney Company, No. 13-cv-		
5	3930; and		
6			
7	WHEREAS, the Action was subsequently transferred to the United States		
8	District Court for the Central District of California, entitled Mathew v. The Wal		
9	Disney Company, No. 14-cv-7832; and		
10			
11	WHEREAS, Disney filed a counterclaim against Mathew in the above-		
12	captioned Action, which Mathew denies; and		
13			
14	WHEREAS, by order of April 20, 2015, the United States District Court for the		
15	Central District of California dismissed all of Mathew's claims in the Action		
16	("Dismissal Order"); and		
17			
18	WHEREAS, Disney's counterclaim remains pending, as noted by the Court's		
19	minute entry of April 29, 2015; and		
20			
21	WHEREAS, until a final order is entered in the Action, Mathew is not able to		
22	appeal the Court's Dismissal Order of April 20, 2015;		
23			
24	AND NOW, therefore, upon agreement of the parties, it is hereby		
25	ORDERED, ADJUDGED, and DECREED as follows:		
26	1. Judgment is entered against Mathew in favor of Disney on Disney's		
27	counterclaim in the Action in the sum of Three Thousand Dollars Five		
28	Hundreds (\$3,500.00).		

HOGAN LOVELLS US LLP ATTORNEYS AT LAW Los Angeles

[PROPOSED] JUDGMENT

1	2.	The Clerk is hereby direct	cted to enter judgment accordingly in favor of
2		Disney.	
3	3.	Mathew shall retain and p	preserve his right to appeal the dismissal of his
4		claims in the above-descri	ibed Action.
5			
6			gan VO
7	Dated: October 9, 2015		gay Klavan
8			Hon. Judge R. Gary Klausner United States District Court Judge
9			
10	CONSENTED TO:		
11			
12 /s/ Edward W. Millstein w/permission Edward W Millstein			
13	3 Counsel for Plaintiff		
14	Royce Mat	thew	
15			
16	/s/ Sanford Sanford M	M. Litvak . Litvak	_
17	Counsel for Defendants		
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			

HOGAN LOVELLS US LLP ATTORNEYS AT LAW LOS ANGELES