need special protection from public disclosure and from use for any purpose other than prosecuting this litigation;

28

6

8

7

11 12

10

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23 24

25 26

27 28

WHEREAS, Plaintiff is also seeking official information contained in the personnel files of police officers, including but not limited to Officer Garcia, Officer Cortez, Officer Tornek, Officer McCoy and Detective Razo, which the City Defendants maintain as strictly confidential and which the City Defendants believe need special protection from public disclosure and from use for any purpose other than prosecuting this litigation;

WHEREAS, the City Defendants assert that the confidentiality of the materials and information sought by Plaintiff is recognized by California and federal law, as evidenced inter alia by California Penal Code section 832.7 and Kerr v. United States Dist. Ct. for N.D. Cal., 511 F.2d 192, 198 (9th Cir. 1975), aff'd, 426 U.S. 394 (1976);

WHEREAS, the City Defendants have not publicly released the materials and information referenced above except under protective order or pursuant to court order, if at all;

nitial "ciplinary action against Los Angeles Police Department ("LAPD") officer as been used widence in disciplinary proceedings, where the officers' auct was onsidered to be contracto LAPD policy;

WHEREAS, absent a present order delineating the responsibilities of nondisclosure n the part of the parties hereto, there specific risk of mecessary and undue disclosure y one or more of the many attorneys, see the law clerks, paralegals and exper itnesses involved in this case, as well the coror wrisk of embarrassment, harassmen nd professional and legal harmar the part of the LAPD of the referenced in the materials nd information;

WHERE the unfettered disclosure of the materials and in mation, absent a otection order, would allow the media to share this information with potential wors in the

The Court, having found good cause, orders as follows:



(16K)₂

12 13

> 15 16

12

17 18

19

21

22

20

23

AGR) 24

2526

2728

- 1. Defendants (hereinafter "Disclosing Party(ies)") may designate as confidential any personnel files, videos, Internal Affairs materials or any other materials or writing that they, in good faith, believe is protected from disclosure within the meaning of FRCivP 26(g), in that they believe the material contains confidential or private information. Such materials may be classified as subject to this protective order by marking the material, each document or writing with a watermark that includes words such as "Confidential," "Confidential Documents," "Confidential Material," "Subject to Protective Order," or words of a similar effect, and that includes the case name and case number. Materials and writings so designated, and all privileged information derived therefrom [hereinafter collectively referred to as "Confidential Material"], shall be treated in accordance with the terms of this Order.

 Stipulation. In making this designation, the Disclosing Parties are also representing that no portion of the materials is segregable and, therefore, subject to production without restriction as "Confidential."
- 2. Confidential Material may be used by the persons receiving such information [hereinafter "Receiving Party(ies)"] only for the purpose of litigation of this case, and for such other purposes as permitted by law.
- 3. This Stipulation applies not only to the Confidential Material, but also to (1) any information copied or extracted from the Confidential Material; (2) all copies, excerpts, summaries or compilations of Confidential Material; and (3) any testimony, conversations, or presentations by Receiving Parties that might reveal Confidential Material.
- 4. Subject to the further conditions imposed by this Stipulation, the Confidential Material may only be disclosed to the Court and to the following "qualified" persons:
 - (a) Counsel of record for the parties to this civil litigation;
 - (b) Defendants City of Los Angeles and Los Angeles Police Department;
- (c) Attorneys, paralegals, law clerks, stenographic, clerical and secretarial personnel who are employees in the offices of counsel referred to in subparagraph (a);

- (d) 25

- (d) Parties to the litigation;
- (e) Expert witnesses consulted and/or retained for this action; and
- (f) The judge and court personnel, including stenographic reporters.
- 5. Prior to the disclosure of any Confidential Material to any person described in paragraph 3(a), (c) or (d), counsel for the Receiving Party who seeks to use or disclose such Confidential Material shall first provide a copy of this Stipulation and have the individual to whom the Receiving Party intends to disclose said Confidential Material sign the Nondisclosure Agreement set forth in Attachment "A", stating that the person has received and read a copy of the Stipulation and understands that s/he is bound by the terms of the Stipulation.
- 6. Unless made on the record in this litigation, counsel making the disclosure to any qualified person described herein shall retain the original executed copy of the Nondisclosure Agreements until thirty (30) days after this litigation has become final, including any appellate review, and monitoring of an injunction. Counsel for the Receiving Party shall maintain all signed Nondisclosure Agreements and shall produce the original signature page upon reasonable written notice from opposing counsel. If an issue arises regarding a purported unauthorized disclosure of Confidential Material, upon noticed motion of contempt filed by the Disclosing Parties, counsel for the Receiving Party may be required to file the signed Nondisclosure Agreements, as well as a list of the disclosed materials, in camera with the Court having jurisdiction of the Stipulation.
- 7. The court reporter, videographer, and audiographer, if any, who record all or part of the depositions in this matter of Defendants City of Los Angeles and Los Angeles Police Department, or any other current or former employee of the Los Angeles Police Department shall be subject to this Order. In preparing the original deposition videotape, audiotape, or portions thereof, any copies thereof, or portions of copies thereof, all materials and testimony designated as "Confidential Material," and all testimony involving information derived from such "Confidential" materials shall be segregated from the rest of the deposition. No copies of such segregated "Confidential Material" portions of the materials described above shall

5

6

7 8

10

12

11

13 14

15 16

> 18 19

17

20

22

23

21

24 25 26

27 28

be provided to any persons other than those persons identified in paragraph 4. Nothing in this agreement is intended to limit the rights of third parties to obtain such Confidential Material through discovery and subpoena power in other proceedings, subject to a motion for a protective order filed in those proceedings by the party seeking to prevent disclosure of the Confidential Material.

- If any "Confidential Material" or testimony derived from such materials occurs 8. at a deposition, those attending such portions of the depositions shall be bound by this Order and, therefore, shall not disclose to any person or entity, in any manner, including orally, any statements made by Defendants City of Los Angeles and Los Angeles Police Department, or any other current or former employee of the Los Angeles Police Department during the "Confidential" sections of said depositions.
- An inadvertent failure to designate qualified materials or items does not, standing alone, waive the Disclosing Party's right to secure protection under this Order for IF, after notification such material. Upon-being notified of the correction of a designation, the Receiving Party does not agree to treat as confidential, the ust make reasonable efforts to assure that the material is treated in accordance with this Disclosing Party may seek relief from the court.
- 10. Upon final termination of this litigation, including any appeal pertaining thereto, all materials still classified as Confidential Material at that time, and all copies thereof, including copies provided to any qualified person in paragraph 3 herein above, shall counsel of record mai be returned to the Disclosing Party within thirty (30) days. retain a copy of
- If any Receiving Party who receives Confidential Material is served with a 11. subpoena or other request seeking Confidential Material, s/he or it shall immediately give written notice to counsel for the Disclosing Parties, identifying the Confidential Material sought and the time in which production or other disclosure is required. Such notice shall be given sufficiently in advance of the date for production or other disclosure so that the Disclosing Parties have the opportunity to obtain an order barring production or other disclosure, or to otherwise respond to the subpoena or other request for production or disclosure of Confidential Material. The Receiving Party also shall immediately give notice

5

7 8

9

10

11

12

14

6

13

15 16

17

18 19

21 22

20

23 24

25 26

27 28

to the party who caused the subpoena or other request to issue that the material is subject to this Order and include a copy of this Order. In no event should production or disclosure be made without prior written approval by the Disclosing Party's Counsel unless required by court-order arising from a motion to compel production or disclosure of Confidential Material.

- 12. Any pleadings, motions, briefs, declarations, stipulations, exhibits or other / written submissions to the Court in this litigation which contain or incorporate Confidential Material-shall be filed and maintained in accordance with Local Rule 79-5, which governs the filing of materials under-seal, Any other pleadings, motions, briefs, declarations, stipulations, exhibits or other written submissions that refer but do not contain or incorporate Confidential Material, shall designate the particular aspects that are confidential so as to enable the Court, in drafting presumptively public orders relating to these filings under seal, to determine whether there is evidence which the Court should attempt not to disclose. If any papers to be filed with the Court contain protected information, the proposed filing shall be accompanied by an application to file the papers or the portion thereof pursuant to local Kule 79-5 containing the protected information, under sealland that the application shall be directed to the judge to whom the papers are directed. Pending the ruling on the application, the papers or portions thereof subject to the sealing application shall be lodged under seal.
- 13. Counsel for the parties agree to request that any motions, applications or other pre-trial-proceedings which would entail the disclosure of Confidential Material be heardby the Court in a manner that would preserve the confidential nature of the information, unless having heard opposition from counsel to such a process, the court orders otherwise.
- Nothing herein shall prejudice any party's rights to object to the introduction of any Confidential Material into evidence.
- 15. Any violation of this Order may be punished by any and all appropriate measures including, without limitation, contempt proceedings and/or monetary sanctions.
- Any party bound by this Stipulation who contests the confidential nature of 16. materials produced pursuant to this Stipulation may move the Court for an order to have the

,	
, (ase 2:14-cv-08874-FMO BR Document 32-1 Filed 03/25/15 age 7 of 7 Page ID #:217
	(confidential designation.)
_ 1	materials removed from the protective order and to have the materials declared no
2 3	confidential, or otherwise move to modify the Stipulation as to some or all of the materials. The Disclosing farty has the burden of showing sufficient cause for the 17. Any procedures specified above in this Protective Order are in addition to, and
4	not in lieu of, compliance with the local rules regarding discovery motions.
5	
6	ATTACHMENT "A"
7	NONDISCLOSURE AGREEMENT
8	
9	I,do solemnly swear that I am fully familiar with the
10	terms of the Protective Order entered in Clinton D. Alford, Jr., v. LAPD Chief Beck et al.,
11	United States District Court for the Central District of California, Central Division, Case No.
12	No.CV14-08874 FMO (AGRx), and hereby agree to comply with and be bound by the terms
13	and conditions of said Order. I hereby consent to the jurisdiction of the United States
14	District Court for the Central District of California for purposes of enforcing this Order.
15	
16	Dated: Signed:
17	
18	
19	
20	IT IS SO ORDERED.
21	Dated: March 26, 2015 By: alicia A. Posenberg
22	
23	HONORABLE ALICIA G. RÖSENBERG
24	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
25	
26	
27	
28	