```
1
    SANDRA R. BROWN
    Acting United States Attorney
 2
    LAWRENCE S. MIDDLETON
    Assistant United States Attorney
 3
    Chief, Criminal Division
    STEVEN R. WELK
 4
    Assistant United States Attorney
    Chief, Asset Forfeiture Section
 5
    VICTOR A. RODGERS
    California Bar No. 101281
 6
    Assistant United States Attorney
    Asset Forfeiture Section
 7
         Federal Courthouse, 14th Floor
         312 North Spring Street
 8
         Los Angeles, California 90012
         Telephone: (213) 894-2569
 9
         Facsimile: (213) 894-7177
         E-mail: Victor.Rodgers@usdoj.gov
10
11
    Attorneys for Plaintiff
                                                         JS-6
    UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
12
                       UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
13
                 FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
14
                             WESTERN DIVISION
15
16
    UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, ) Case No. CV 14-09061-ODW(FFMx)
17
             Plaintiff,
18
                v.
                                 CONSENT JUDGMENT OF FORFEITURE
19
    $1,621,352.00 IN U.S.
    CURRENCY AND FIVE ITEMS
20
    OF JEWELRY,
21
             Defendants.
22
    JASON HUNTER,
23
             Claimant.
24
25
26
         On or about November 24, 2014, Plaintiff United States of
2.7
    America ("the government," "the United States of America" or
```

"plaintiff") filed a Complaint for Forfeiture alleging that the

28

defendants \$1,621,352.00 in U.S. Currency and Five Items of Jewelry (collectively, the "defendant assets") are subject to forfeiture pursuant to 21 U.S.C. § 881(a)(6) and 18 U.S.C. § 981(a)(1)(C).

On or about March 4, 2015, claimant Jason Hunter ("Claimant") filed a claim to the defendant assets.

No other parties have appeared in this case and the time for filing claims and answers has expired.

The government and Claimant have now agreed to settle this action and to avoid further litigation by entering into this Consent Judgment of Forfeiture.

The Court, having been duly advised of and having considered the matter, and based upon the mutual consent of the parties hereto,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED:

- 1. This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action and the parties to this Consent Judgment of Forfeiture.
- 2. The Complaint for Forfeiture states a claim for relief pursuant to 21 U.S.C. § 881(a)(6) and 18 U.S.C. § 981(a)(1)(C).
- 3. Notice of this action has been given as required by law. No appearances have been made in the litigation by any person other than Claimant. The Court deems that all other potential claimants admit the allegations of the Complaint for Forfeiture to be true.
- 4. The defendant Five Items of Jewelry and the defendant \$1,621,352.00 in U.S. Currency, plus the interest earned by the United States of America on the defendant \$1,621,352.00 in U.S.

Currency shall be condemned and forfeited to the United States of America, which shall dispose of those items in accordance with law.

- 5. Claimant, on behalf of himself and his heirs, beneficiaries, successors, predecessors, assigns and related entities, if any, hereby releases the United States of America, its agencies, agents, officers, employees and representatives, including, without limitation, all agents, officers, employees and representatives of the Drug Enforcement Administration or the Department of Justice and their respective agencies, as well as all agents, officers, employees and representatives of any state or local governmental or law enforcement agency involved in the investigation or prosecution of this matter, from any and all claims (including, without limitation any petitions for remission, which Claimant hereby withdraws), actions or liabilities arising out of or related to this action, including, without limitation, any claim for attorney fees, costs and interest, which may be asserted by or on behalf of Claimant or any of his respective heirs, beneficiaries, successors, predecessors, assigns and related entities, if any, whether pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2465 or otherwise.
- 6. The Court finds that there was reasonable cause for the seizure of the defendant assets and institution of these proceedings. This judgment shall be construed as a certificate of reasonable cause pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2465.

```
26 || / / /
```

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

^{27 || / / /}

^{28 || / / /}

7. The Court further finds that Claimant did not substantially prevail in this action, and each of the parties hereto shall bear their own attorney fees and costs.

Dated: <u>May 19, 2017</u>

Ulio A U/ight

THE HONORABLE OTIS D. WRIGHT II UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

1 2 CONSENT 3 The parties hereto consent to the above judgment and waive 4 any right of appeal. 5 Dated: May 18, 2017 SANDRA R. BROWN 6 Acting United States Attorney LAWRENCE S. MIDDLETON 7 Assistant United States Attorney Chief, Criminal Division STEVEN R. WELK Assistant United States Attorney 8 9 Chief, Asset Forfeiture Section 10 /s/ Victor A. Rodgers VICTOR A. RODGERS 11 Assistant United States Attorney 12 Attorneys for Plaintiff 13 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA 14 DATED: April 25, 2017 LAW OFFICES OF DAVID M. DUDLEY 15 16 17 /s/ David M. Dudley DAVID M. DUDLEY 18 Attorneys for Claimant 19 JASON HUNTER 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27

28