1	Brown v. Roe, 279 F.3d 742, 744-45 (9th Cir. 2002); United States v. Howell, 231
2	F.3d 615, 621-22 (9th Cir. 2000). The Court has exercised its discretion to consider
3	this evidence, but concludes that this information is already part of the record in this
4	case and does not affect or alter the analysis and conclusions set forth in the Report.
5	Accordingly, the Court accepts the findings and recommendations set forth in
6	the Report. IT IS ORDERED that:
7	(1) Defendants' Motion to Dismiss the Second Amended Complaint [Dkt. 71] is
8	GRANTED and Plaintiff's claims against Defendants Baca, Tanaka, and
9	Carey, are dismissed from this case with prejudice;
10	(2) Plaintiff's Motion for Leave to Amend [Dkt. 78] is DENIED.
11	
12	IT IS SO ORDERED.
13	DATE: 5/4/17
14	DITIE. 5/4/17
15	PHILIP S. GUTIERREZ UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	