

1 5

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28



JS - 6

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

VISHWAJIT ROY, an individual,)	Case No. CV 14-09560 DDP (MANx)
)	
Plaintiff,)	ORDER GRANTING MOTION TO DISMISS
)	[Dkt. No. 9]
v.)	
)	
WELLS FARGO BANK, a financial institution, RTS PACIFIC INC., d/b/a REGIONAL TRUSTEE CORPORATION, a financial institution,)	
)	
Defendants.)	
_____)	

Presently before the Court is Defendant's Motion to Dismiss. Plaintiff has filed no opposition papers, nor any request for an extension of time to file.

Central District of California Local Rule 7-9 requires an opposing party to file an opposition or a statement of non-opposition to any motion at least twenty-one (21) days prior to the date designated for hearing the motion. L.R. 7-9. Additionally, Local Rule 7-12 provides that "[t]he failure to file any required

1 paper, or the failure to file it within the deadline, may be deemed
2 consent to the granting or denial of the motion." L.R. 7-12.

3 The hearing on Defendant's Motion to Dismiss was scheduled for
4 January 26, 2015. Plaintiff's opposition or statement of
5 non-opposition was therefore due by January 5, 2015. As of the
6 date of this Order, Plaintiff has not filed any response to
7 Defendant's Motion, or any other papers that could be construed as
8 a request for an extension of time to file or a request to move the
9 hearing date. Accordingly, the Court deems Plaintiff's failure to
10 oppose consent to granting Defendant's Motion to Dismiss. The
11 motion is GRANTED.

12

13 IT IS SO ORDERED.

14

15

16 Dated: January 28, 2015

17


DEAN D. PREGERSON
United States District Judge

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28