1	JS 6		
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10	WESTERN DIVISION		
11	BRUCE BANDEL,	Case No. CV 14-09926-DSF (DFM)	
12	Plaintiff,	ORDER RE: DISMISSAL OF ACTION WITHOUT PREJUDICE	
13	V.		
14	LOUIS BORJON et al.,		
15	Defendants.		
16			
17		•	
18	On July 31, 2017, Plaintiff filed a motion to dismiss this action. See Dkt.		
19	90. Because Defendants have filed an answer to Plaintiff's Second Amended		
20	Complaint, see Dkt. 81, 85, the Court deemed Plaintiff's motion to be made		
21	under Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(a)(2) and accordingly ordered Defendant to file any		

opposition to an order of dismissal without prejudice within 7 days, see Dkt. 22

91. Defendants have filed statements of non-opposition. See Dkt. 92, 93. 23

24 25 ///

///

- 26 ///
- 27 ///
- 28 ///

This Court has conducted a <u>de novo</u> review of Plaintiff's motion and Defendants' statements of non-opposition. Plaintiff's motion is GRANTED and IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that this action be dismissed without prejudice under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(a)(2). 4

1

2

3

5	8/31/17	
6	Dated:	1000. & Corber
7		Nerve 101. Juillie
8		DALE S. FISCHER United States District Judge
9		e mica states 2 istilet stage
10	Presented by:	
11		
12	Land	
13	DOUGLAS F. McCORMICK	
14	United States Magistrate Judge	
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
		2