

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

SUNLIGHT PRODUCT
TECHNOLOGIES, LTD., a Hong
Kong Corporation, and DAVID
JOSEPH BUNEVACZ, an individual,

Plaintiffs,

v.

MPOWERD INC., a New York
Corporation, JACQUES PHILIPPE
PIVERGER, and DOES 1 through 20,
inclusive,

Defendants.

Case No: 2:15-cv-00126-MWF (JEMx)

PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION

Plaintiff Sunlight Product Technologies, Ltd.’s (“Sunlight”) Motion for Preliminary Injunction (“Motion”) was heard by the Court on March 9, 2015, at 10:00 a.m. Having fully considered the Motion and related papers, and having heard the oral argument and testimony presented at the hearing, THE COURT FINDS THAT: (1) Sunlight has made a clear showing that it is likely to succeed on the merits of its contention that it is not obligated to arbitrate its dispute with Defendant MPOWERD, Inc.; (2) Sunlight has shown that it is suffering, and will continue to suffer, irreparable harm if the requested relief is not granted, and (3) the balance of

1 the equities and the public interest weighs in favor of Sunlight on the present
2 application for a preliminary injunction.

3 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED as follows:

4 A preliminary injunction is entered enjoining Defendant MPOWERD, Inc.,
5 from further proceeding against Sunlight in the matter entitled *Mpowerd, Inc. v.*
6 *Bunevacz*, AAA Case No. 50 152 T 00016 14, currently proceeding in the American
7 Arbitration Association's International Center for Dispute Resolution in New York,
8 N.Y.

9 Dated: March 24, 2015



MICHAEL W. FITZGERALD
United States District Judge

10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28