

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

JS-6

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, WESTERN DIVISION

HALEY VIDECKIS and LAYANA
WHITE, individuals,

Plaintiffs,

v.

PEPPERDINE UNIVERSITY, a
corporation doing business in
California,

Defendant.

CASE NO. 2:15-CV-00298-DDP (JCx)

**JUDGMENT IN FAVOR OF
DEFENDANT PEPPERDINE
UNIVERSITY AGAINST
PLAINTIFFS HALEY VIDECKIS
AND LAYANA WHITE ON JURY
VERDICTS**

[Assigned to Hon. Dean D. Pregerson,
Courtroom 9C]

This action was duly tried before a jury beginning on July 18, 2017, the Honorable Dean D. Pregerson, presiding. The matter was duly submitted to the jury by means of two special verdicts consisting of a series of questions, one as to Plaintiff HALEY VIDECKIS and one as to Plaintiff LAYANA WHITE. On August 11, 2017, according to the instructions given by the Court, the jury returned its verdicts by way of its answers to the questions propounded to it finding in favor of Defendant PEPPERDINE UNIVERSITY with respect to all claims brought against it by both Plaintiff HALEY VIDECKIS and Plaintiff LAYANA WHITE. (Verdict Forms, ECF Doc No. 246 and 248.)

///

1 **IT IS NOW, THEREFORE, ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED**

2 as follows:

3 1. Judgment is entered in favor of Defendant PEPPERDINE
4 UNIVERSITY (“PEPPERDINE”) and against Plaintiffs HALEY VIDECKIS and
5 LAYANA WHITE (“PLAINTIFFS”) on the first claim for relief in their Third
6 Amended Complaint for Violation of the Right of Privacy.

7 2. Judgment is entered in favor of PEPPERDINE and against
8 PLAINTIFFS on the second claim for relief in their Third Amended Complaint for
9 Violation of the California Education Code sections 220, 66251 and 66270.

10 3. Judgment is entered in favor of PEPPERDINE and against
11 PLAINTIFFS on the third, fourth and fifth claims for relief in their Third Amended
12 Complaint for Violation of Title IX.

13 4. Judgment is entered in favor of PEPPERDINE and against
14 PLAINTIFFS on the sixth claim for relief in their Third Amended Complaint for
15 Violation of the California Civil Code section 51, *et seq.* (Unruh Act).

16 5. Judgment is entered in favor of PEPPERDINE and against
17 PLAINTIFFS on the seventh claim for relief in their Third Amended Complaint for
18 Intentional Infliction of Emotional Distress.

19 6. PLAINTIFFS shall take nothing from PEPPERDINE on any claim set
20 out in this action.

21 7. Each party shall bear its own costs.

22

23 DATED: September 11, 2017

24



25

Hon. Dean D. Pregerson

26

27

28