1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8			
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
10	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
11	\ \		
12	JUAN MENDOZA-MARTINEZ,	Case No. CV 15-0337-DMG (JEM)	
13	Petitioner,	ORDER ACCEPTING FINDINGS AND	
14	V. ()	RECOMMENDATIONS OF UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
15	JACK FOX, Warden,		
16	Respondent.		
17	/		
18	Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. Section 636, the Court has reviewed the pleadings, the records on file, and the Report and Recommendation of the United States Magistrate Judge. No Objections to the Report and Recommendation have been filed within the time allowed for Objections. ¹ The Court accepts the findings and recommendations of the		
19			
20			
21			
22	Magistrate Judge.		
23			
24			
25			
26	were returned undeliverable. [Doc. ## 12, 13.] Nonetheless, it is the party's responsibility to keep the		
27	Court and opposing counsel apprised of any changes in his address or other contact information. C.D. Cal. L.R. 83-2.4. Failure to comply with this Local Rule is evidence of a lack of due diligence and does		
28	not justify any further delay in the issuance of this	s Order.	

1	IT IS ORDERED that the Petition is denied and Judgment shall be entered	
2	dismissing the action with prejudice.	
3	1	
4	DATED: June 17, 2016	
5	DOLLY M. GEE UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE	
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23 24		
24 25		
25 26		
20 27		
27		
20		