

1 JEFFER MANGELS BUTLER & MITCHELL LLP  
 2 ROBERT B. KAPLAN, P.C. (Bar No. 76950)

JS-6

3 rbk@jmbm.com  
 4 Two Embarcadero Center, Fifth Floor  
 5 San Francisco, California 94111-3813  
 6 Telephone: (415) 398-8080  
 7 Facsimile: (415) 398-5584

8 JEFFER MANGELS BUTLER & MITCHELL LLP  
 9 THOMAS M. GEHER (Bar No. 130588)

10 tgeher@jmbm.com  
 11 1900 Avenue of the Stars, 7th Floor  
 12 Los Angeles, CA 90067-4308  
 13 Telephone: (310) 203-8080  
 14 Facsimile: (310) 203-0567

15 Attorneys for Plaintiff  
 16 HANA FINANCIAL, INC.

17 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
 18 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

19 HANA FINANCIAL, INC., a  
 20 California corporation,

Case No.: 2:15-cv-00368-MWF  
 (JEMx)

21 Plaintiff and Counter-Defendant,

**ORDER DISMISSING ENTIRE  
 ACTION WITH PREJUDICE**

22 vs.

23 GEOFFREY ALLEN CORPORATION  
 24 dba PERRY ELLIS FOOTWEAR, dba  
 25 AKADEMIKS OUTERWEAR dba  
 26 TROOP FOOTWEAR, dba 5IVE  
 27 JUNGLE FOOTWEAR, dba SCHOOL  
 28 OF HARDKNOCKS OUTERWEAR,  
 FOOTWEAR, dba MONEY  
 FOOTWEAR, dba GENUINE GRIP  
 FOOTWEAR, dba MO7, a New Jersey  
 domestic stock corporation; KI YOUNG  
 LEE aka KI Y. LEE aka K.Y. LEE;  
 G.C.B. INC. dba ECKO OUTERWEAR,  
 a New Jersey domestic profit corporation,  
 PINK DICE UNLIMITED, INC., a New  
 Jersey domestic profit corporation,

Judge: Hon. Michael W.  
 Fitzgerald

Courtroom: 1600

Case Filed: January 16, 2015

Trial Date: February 8, 2018

Defendants and Counterclaimants.

1 GEOFFREY ALLEN CORPORATION,  
2 A New Jersey Corporation, G.C.B.,  
3 INC., dba ECKO OUTERWEAR, a New  
4 Jersey domestic profit corporation; PINK  
5 DICE UNLIMITED, INC., a New Jersey  
6 domestic profit corporation,

7 Third-Party Plaintiffs,

8 vs.

9 YOUNG SHIM, an individual, SEAN  
10 CHUN, an individual and MICHAEL  
11 CHOI, an individual, ROES 1-10  
12 Inclusive.

13 Third-Party Defendants.

14 Having reviewed the *Joint Stipulation for Dismissal of Entire Action* (the  
15 ("Stipulation")) and good cause appearing, it is

16 **ORDERED** that the Stipulation is approved; and it is further;

17 **ORDERED** that the Complaint (as defined by and in the Stipulation),  
18 the SAC (as defined by and in the Stipulation) and this entire action is hereby  
19 dismissed, with prejudice.



20 DATED: October 18, 2017

21 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28