

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

DONALD B. WILLIAMS,	)	1:15-cv-0155-JLT
	)	
Petitioner,	)	ORDER TRANSFERRING CASE TO THE UNITED
	)	STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE CENTRAL
vs.	)	DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
	)	
E. VALENZUELA,	)	
	)	
Respondent.	)	
	)	

Petitioner, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed a habeas corpus action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254, in which he challenges denial of necessary medical treatments. Petitioner has not paid the \$5.00 filing fee or submitted an application to proceed in forma pauperis for this action.

The federal venue statute requires that a civil action, other than one based on diversity jurisdiction, be brought only in “(1) a judicial district where any defendant resides, if all defendants reside in the same state, (2) a judicial district in which a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claim occurred, or a substantial part of the property that is the subject of the action is situated, or (3) a judicial district in which any defendant may be found, if there is no district in which the action may otherwise be brought.” 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b).

In a habeas matter, venue is proper in either the district of conviction or the district of confinement. 28 U.S.C. § 2241(d). In this case, petitioner challenges the result of a prison

