

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

**CIVIL MINUTES - GENERAL**

<b>Case No.</b>	CV 15-1317-PA (SP)	<b>Date</b>	July 14, 2015
<b>Title</b>	RICKY HOWARD v. PAULETTE FINANDER		

---



---

**Present: The Honorable Sheri Pym, United States Magistrate Judge**

Kimberly Carter

None Appearing

Deputy Clerk

Court Reporter / Recorder

Tape No.

Attorneys Present for Plaintiff(s):

Attorneys Present for Defendant(s):

None Appearing

None Appearing

**Proceedings: (IN CHAMBERS) ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE WHY COMPLAINT SHOULD NOT BE DISMISSED FOR FAILURE TO PROSECUTE**

On February 24, 2015, plaintiff Ricky Howard, a California prisoner proceeding pro se, lodged a civil rights complaint pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. His complaint alleged the Chief Medical Executive retaliated against him for filing a grievance. On May 26, 2015, this court, having completed screening of the complaint, dismissed it with leave to file a First Amended Complaint by June 25, 2015. Two weeks having passed beyond this deadline, the court has not received a First Amended Complaint or any other communication from plaintiff.

Accordingly, within **twenty-one (21)** days of the date of this Order, that is, by **August 4, 2015**, plaintiff is **ORDERED TO SHOW CAUSE**, in writing, why this action should not be dismissed for failure to prosecute and/or comply with a court order. Plaintiff is cautioned that his failure to timely file a response to this Order to Show Cause will be deemed by the court as consent to the dismissal of this action without prejudice. In the event plaintiff wishes to voluntarily dismiss this action, plaintiff may complete and return the enclosed Notice of Dismissal form by August 4, 2015.

If plaintiff files a First Amended Complaint by **August 4, 2015**, this Order to Show Cause will be automatically discharged, and plaintiff need not respond to it separately.