

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

RICKY HOWARD,
Plaintiff,
v.
PAULETTE FINANDER, et al.,
Defendants.


) Case No. CV 15-1317-PA (SP)
)
) **ORDER ACCEPTING FINDINGS AND**
) **RECOMMENDATION OF UNITED**
) **STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE**
)
)

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636, the Court has reviewed the Second Amended Complaint, records on file, and the Report and Recommendation of the United States Magistrate Judge. Further, the Court has engaged in a de novo review of those portions of the Report to which defendants have objected. The Court accepts the findings and recommendation of the Magistrate Judge.

//
//

1 IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED: defendant Finander's Motion to Dismiss
2 (docket no. 51) is denied; defendants Marcelo and Fitter's Motion to Dismiss
3 (docket no. 66) is granted only to the extent of dismissing without leave to amend
4 any potential claim for retaliation against defendants Marcelo and Fitter only; and
5 defendants Marcelo and Fitter's Motion to Dismiss is denied in all other respects.
6 Defendants are ordered to answer the Second Amended Complaint within fourteen
7 days of this Order.

8
9 DATED: May 14, 2018



PERCY ANDERSON
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28