

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

JS-6

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
WESTERN DIVISION

EYON NEAL CHRISTMAS, on behalf
of himself and all other similarly
situated individuals,

Plaintiff,

v.

UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD
COMPANY, a Delaware corporation;
UNION PACIFIC CORPORATION, a
Utah corporation; GEORGE R.
DAVIS, an individual; ZACHARY J.
PITTMAN, an individual, JOHN E.
YETTAW, an individual; KENNETH
R. FAIR, an individual; and DOES 1
through 50, inclusive,

Defendants.

Case No. 2:15-cv-02612 AB
(PLAx)

JUDGMENT

1 Defendant Union Pacific Railroad Company (“Defendant”) has moved for
2 judgment on the pleadings in the above-captioned matter pursuant to Federal Rule
3 of Civil Procedure 12(c), seeking to dismiss with prejudice the First Amended
4 Complaint of Plaintiff Eyon Neal Christmas.¹ The arguments and evidence
5 presented having been fully considered, the issues having been heard and a decision
6 having been rendered on November 19, 2015, granting Defendant’s motion [*see*
7 Docket Entry No. 50],

8 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED THAT
9 judgment on the merits is hereby entered in favor of Defendant on all counts, that
10 Plaintiff Eyon Neal Christmas shall take nothing by this action, and that Plaintiff’s
11 First Amended Complaint shall be and hereby is dismissed with prejudice for the
12 reasons set forth in this Court’s November 19, 2015, Order Granting Defendant’s
13 Motion for Judgment on the Pleadings and Denying Plaintiff’s Motion to Strike
14 [Docket Entry No. 50].

15
16
17 Dated: November 24, 2015



18

The Honorable André Birotte Jr.
19 United States District Judge

20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

¹ All other named defendants in this action were previously dismissed for the reasons stated in this Court’s Order of October 7, 2015 [Docket Entry No. 38].