


JS-6

1 **RICKEY IVIE** (#76864)
 2 rvie@imwlaw.com
 3 **JENNIFER R. JACOBS** (#157609)
 4 jjacobs@imwlaw.com
 5 **ANTONIO K. KIZZIE** (#279719)
 6 akizzie@imwlaw.com
 7 **IVIE, McNEILL & WYATT**
 8 444 S. Flower Street, Suite 1800
 9 Los Angeles, California 90071
 10 Tel. (213) 489-0028
 11 Fax (213) 489-0552



Attorneys for Defendants, **COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, et al.**

8 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
 9
 10 **CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

11 **KEN SHEPPARD,**) **CASE NO.: 2:15-CV-2920 SJO (JCx)**
 12)
 13 *Plaintiffs,*)
 14) **JUDGMENT**
 15 **vs.**)
 16)
 17 **COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, et.al.**)
 18 *Defendants.*)
 19)
 20)
 21)
 22)
 23)
 24)

PROPOSED JUDGMENT

1 **QUESTION NO. 3**

2 Do you find that plaintiff proved by a preponderance of the evidence that
3 Deputy Tai Plunkett violated the constitutional rights of plaintiff Ken Sheppard by
4 unreasonably searching plaintiff or his vehicle?

5 YES _____ NO X _____

6 **QUESTION NO. 4**

7 Do you find that Plaintiff Ken Sheppard has proved by a preponderance of
8 the evidence that the Deputy Tai Plunkett's conduct was the cause of injury to
9 Plaintiff Ken Sheppard?

10 YES _____ NO X _____

11 **QUESTION NO. 5**

12 Do you find that plaintiff proved by a preponderance of the evidence that
13 Deputy Bonnie Hanson violated the constitutional rights of plaintiff Ken Sheppard by
14 unreasonably searching plaintiff or his vehicle?

15 YES _____ NO X _____

16 **QUESTION NO. 6**

17 Do you find that Plaintiff Ken Sheppard has proved by a preponderance of
18 the evidence that the Deputy Bonnie Hanson's conduct was the cause of injury to
19 Plaintiff Ken Sheppard?

20 YES _____ NO X _____

21 **QUESTION NO. 7**

22 Do you find that plaintiff proved by a preponderance of the evidence that
23 Deputy Bonnie Hanson violated the constitutional rights of plaintiff Ken Sheppard by
24 unreasonably seizing plaintiff?
25

26 YES _____ NO X _____

1 **QUESTION NO. 8**

2 Do you find that Plaintiff Ken Sheppard has proved by a preponderance of
3 the evidence that Deputy Bonnie Hanson’s conduct was the cause of injury to
4 Plaintiff Ken Sheppard?

5 YES _____ NO X _____

6 **QUESTION NO. 9**

7 Do you find that plaintiff proved by a preponderance of the evidence that
8 Deputy Tai Plunkett violated the constitutional rights of plaintiff Ken Sheppard by
9 excessive force against plaintiff?

10 YES _____ NO X _____

11 **QUESTION NO. 10**

12 Do you find that Plaintiff Ken Sheppard has proved by a preponderance of
13 the evidence that the Deputy Tai Plunkett’s conduct was the cause of injury to
14 Plaintiff Ken Sheppard?

15 YES _____ NO X _____

16
17 It appearing by reason of said special verdict that: Defendant **DEPUTIES**
18 **CAROLINE RODRIGUEZ, TAI PLUNKETT and BONNIE HANSON** are
19 entitled to judgment against the plaintiff **KEN SHEPPARD**.

20 Now, therefore, it is **ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED** that said
21 Plaintiff **KEN SHEPPARD** shall recover nothing by reason of the complaint, and
22 that defendants shall recover costs from said plaintiff **KEN SHEPPARD** pursuant
23 to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 54(d)(1) per cost bill.

24 Dated: October 3, 2016 S. James Otero

25 **THE HONORABLE S. JAMES OTERO**
26 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE**