1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	
11	ANTHONY GEORGE KNOWLES, ) Case No. CV 15-2948-DSF (SP)
12	Petitioner,
13	v. () ORDER ACCEPTING FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATION OF
14	WILLIAM MUNIZ, Warden, ) UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
15	Respondent.
16	
17	Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636, the Court has reviewed the Petition, records on
18	file, and the Report and Recommendation of the United States Magistrate Judge.
19	Further, the Court has engaged in a de novo review of those portions of the Report to
20	Further, the Court has engaged in a de novo review of those portions of the Report to which petitioner has objected. The Court accepts the findings and recommendation
20 21	Further, the Court has engaged in a de novo review of those portions of the Report to which petitioner has objected. The Court accepts the findings and recommendation of the Magistrate Judge.
20 21 22	Further, the Court has engaged in a de novo review of those portions of the Report to which petitioner has objected. The Court accepts the findings and recommendation of the Magistrate Judge. IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that petitioner's Motion for Stay and
20 21 22 23	Further, the Court has engaged in a de novo review of those portions of the Report to which petitioner has objected. The Court accepts the findings and recommendation of the Magistrate Judge. IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that petitioner's Motion for Stay and Abeyance (docket no. 22) is denied, and Judgment be entered denying the Petition
20 21 22 23 24	Further, the Court has engaged in a de novo review of those portions of the Report to which petitioner has objected. The Court accepts the findings and recommendation of the Magistrate Judge. IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that petitioner's Motion for Stay and Abeyance (docket no. 22) is denied, and Judgment be entered denying the Petition and dismissing this action with prejudice.
<ul> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> <li>23</li> <li>24</li> <li>25</li> </ul>	Further, the Court has engaged in a de novo review of those portions of the Report to which petitioner has objected. The Court accepts the findings and recommendation of the Magistrate Judge. IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that petitioner's Motion for Stay and Abeyance (docket no. 22) is denied, and Judgment be entered denying the Petition and dismissing this action with prejudice. 1/17/17
<ul> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> <li>23</li> <li>24</li> <li>25</li> <li>26</li> </ul>	Further, the Court has engaged in a de novo review of those portions of the Report to which petitioner has objected. The Court accepts the findings and recommendation of the Magistrate Judge. IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that petitioner's Motion for Stay and Abeyance (docket no. 22) is denied, and Judgment be entered denying the Petition and dismissing this action with prejudice.
<ul> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> <li>23</li> <li>24</li> <li>25</li> <li>26</li> <li>27</li> </ul>	Further, the Court has engaged in a de novo review of those portions of the Report to which petitioner has objected. The Court accepts the findings and recommendation of the Magistrate Judge. IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that petitioner's Motion for Stay and Abeyance (docket no. 22) is denied, and Judgment be entered denying the Petition and dismissing this action with prejudice. 1/17/17
<ul> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> <li>23</li> <li>24</li> <li>25</li> <li>26</li> </ul>	Further, the Court has engaged in a de novo review of those portions of the Report to which petitioner has objected. The Court accepts the findings and recommendation of the Magistrate Judge.         IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that petitioner's Motion for Stay and         Abeyance (docket no. 22) is denied, and Judgment be entered denying the Petition and dismissing this action with prejudice.         1/17/17         DATED: