1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	MESHA ARSHAZ DEAN,	Case No. CV 15-02971 BRO (RAO)
12	Petitioner,	
13	V.	MEMORANDUM AND ORDER DISMISSING PETITION WITHOUT
14	D.K. JOHNSON,	PREJUDICE
15	Respondent.	
16		
17	I. <u>INTRODUCTION</u>	
18	On April 22, 2015, Petitioner Mesha Arshaz Dean ("Petitioner"), a California	
19	state prisoner proceeding pro se, filed a Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus by a	
20	Person in State Custody. (ECF No. 1.)	
21	On February 2, 2016, the Magistrate Judge issued a Report and	
22	Recommendation, recommending that Respondent's Motion to Dismiss the Petition	
23	be granted and Petitioner's request for a <i>Rhines</i> stay be denied. (ECF No. 23.) On	
24	March 1, 2016, the Court accepted the Report and Recommendation and ordered	
25	Petitioner to either: (a) elect to proceed on her exhausted claims by filing a "Notice	
26	of Petitioner's Election to Proceed on only her Exhausted Claims and Consent to	
27	Striking Unexhausted Claims;" or (b) elect to return to state court to exhaust her	
28	unexhausted claims by filing a consent to dismissal of this action without prejudice.	

1

(ECF No. 24.)

On March 21, 2016, Petitioner responded to the Court's Order by filing an "Answer to the Petition, Consent to Dismissal of this Action (28 U.S.C. § 636) Without Prejudice." (ECF No. 25.) In her response, Petitioner states that she "elects option (b), to exhaust her claims in state court at this time." (*Id.*) The Court construes Petitioner's response as a request to voluntarily dismiss this action pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(a).

8

II. <u>DISCUSSION</u>

9 Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(a)(1) provides that a plaintiff (or
10 petitioner) may dismiss an action voluntarily, without court order, by filing a notice
11 of dismissal before the opposing party serves either an answer or a motion for
12 summary judgment. Otherwise, an action may not be dismissed except upon a
13 court order, on terms that the court considers proper. Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(a)(2).

Here, Respondent has not filed or served any answer or a motion for 14 Therefore, under Rule 41(a)(1), Petitioner is entitled to summary judgment. 15 16 dismissal of her action without prejudice and no action is required on the part of the Court. See Duke Energy Trading & Mktg., L.L.C. v. Davis, 267 F.3d 1042, 1049 17 (9th Cir. 2001) (once a plaintiff files a notice of dismissal pursuant to Rule 18 41(a)(1)(i), "the district court loses jurisdiction over the dismissed claims and may 19 20 not address the merits of such claims or issue further orders pertaining to them"); see also Perez v. Perez, Case No. 14-CV-8168-DSF, 2015 WL 1275320, at *1 n.2 21 (C.D. Cal. Mar. 16, 2015) (finding that Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41 is 22 23 applicable in habeas actions).

Petitioner is advised that there is a one-year statute of limitation in which a federal petition for writ of habeas corpus must be filed. 28 U.S.C. § 2244(d)(1). The limitations period is tolled while a "properly filed" application for state postconviction or other collateral review is pending. 28 U.S.C. § 2244(d)(2). However, the limitations period is not tolled under Section 2244(d) while a petition is pending

2

1	in federal court. Duncan v. Walker, 533 U.S. 167, 181-82, 121 S. Ct. 2120, 150	
2	L. Ed. 2d 251 (2001).	
3	III. <u>ORDER</u>	
4	IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Petitioner's "Answer to the Petition,	
5	Consent to Dismissal of this Action (28 U.S.C. § 636) Without Prejudice" is	
6	GRANTED and that Judgment be entered denying the Petition and dismissing this	
7	action without prejudice.	
8		
9	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED	
10	Dated: March 23, 2016	
11	HONORABLE BEVERLY REID O'CONNELL UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE	
12	Presented by:	
13		
14	Rozella a. Qlin	
15	ROZELLA A. OLIVER	
16	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	3	