		JS-6	
1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
11	SUSAN MELLEN, JULIE CARROLL, JESSICA CURCIO AND DONALD	Case No. CV 15-3006-GW(AJWx)	
12	BESCH,	Hon. George H. Wu	
13	Plaintiffs,	JUDGMENT ON ORDER	
14	V.	GRANTING MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT	
15	CITY OF LOS ANGELES;		
16	MARCELLA WINN; RICHARD	Action Filed: April 22, 2015	
17	HOFFMAN; AND DOES 1-10 INCLUSIVE		
18 10	Defendants.		
19 20			
20 21	Defendants filed a Joint Motion for Summary Judgment on March 25, 2016		
22	[Docket No. 78]. The City of Los Angeles was dismissed on or about April 1,		
23	2016. [Docket No. 86]. The Court heard oral argument on defendant Winn's		
24 25	motion on three occasions: May 16, 2016, May 19, 2016 and November 21, 2016.		
26	After full consideration of all the moving, opposing, and reply papers, the		
27	documents and pleadings submitted and judicially noticed, the admissible evidence		
28		1	
	Image:		
		Dockets.Justia.com	

1	and separate statements offered by all parties, and multiple oral arguments of		
2	counsel for both parties, the Court finds that the moving party, defendant		
3	MARCELLA WINN, is entitled to summary judgment as a matter of law in		
4	MARCELLA WINN, is entitled to summary judgment as a matter of faw m		
5	accordance with the order granting Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment		
6	[Docket No. 217] and as follows:		
7 8	1. Plaintiffs have failed as a matter of law to establish a <i>Brady</i> violation;		
9	2. Plaintiffs have failed as a matter of law to establish a failure to		
10	investigate claim under 42 U.S.C. section 1983;		
11	3. Plaintiffs' claim for a violation of due process and familial association		
12	5. Flammins claim for a violation of due process and fammal association		
13	fails as a matter of law on the basis that plaintiff Susan Mellen has not		
14 15	established that any of her constitutional rights were violated; and		
16	4. Defendant Winn enjoys qualified immunity on the <i>Brady</i> claim.		
17	THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED and ADJUDGED that defendant		
18	MARCELLA WINN is entitled to summary judgment as a matter of law and		
19			
20	plaintiffs shall take nothing by reason of their First Amended Complaint against		
21	defendant, the action is dismissed with prejudice and defendant WINN shall		
22	recover from plaintiffs her costs of action in the sum to be determined by the filing		
23	of a properly noticed Bill of Costs.		
24	or a property noticed bin or costs.		
25 26	Dated: January 3, 2017 Menge K. Win		
26 27	Hon. George H. Wu		
27	United States District Judge		
28			
	[PROPOSED] JUDGMENT ON MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT		