1		
2		
3		0
4		
5		
6		NO JS-6
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	EDWARD DEMIRAIAKIAN,) individual,)	Case No. CV 15-03138 DDP (VBKx)
12	Plaintiff,	ORDER GRANTING MOTION TO DISMISS
13	v.	[Dkt. No. 6]
14) WELLS FARGO BANK, N.A., a)	
15	banking business corporation) registered to do business in)	
16	California and NDEX WEST,) LLC, a corporation)	
17	registered to do business in) California,)	
18) Defendants.)	
19)	
20	Presently before the Court is Defendants' Motion to Dismiss	
21	the complaint under Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(b)(6). (Dkt. No. 6.)	
22	Having reviewed the complaint and Defendants' submissions, the	
23	Court GRANTS the motion and adopts the following order.	
24	I. BACKGROUND	
25	On February 13, 2015, Plaintiff filed an action against Wells	
26	Fargo Bank N.A. and NDeX West LLC in Los Angeles Superior Court,	
27	alleging that Defendants violated the California Homeowner Bill of	
28	кignts ("нвок") wnile attemptir	ng to foreclose on real property

1 owned by Plaintiff. (Compl. 1, Dkt. No. 1, Ex. A.) Defendants 2 removed the action to this court. (Notice of Removal, Dkt. No. 3 1.)

Defendants recorded a notice of default in June 2013, 4 followed by a notice of trustee's sale in September 2014. (Compl. 5 6 ¶¶ 7-8; Req. for Judicial Notice ("RJN"), Exs. J, K.) Plaintiff 7 alleges Defendants violated HBOR by failing to contact the Plaintiff at least 30 days before filing a notice of default to 8 discuss options to avoid foreclosure. (Compl. $\P\P$ 9-10.) 9 10 Plaintiff alleges Defendants failed to fulfill other HBOR 11 requirements, including requirements to provide Plaintiff with a telephone number to the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban 12 13 Development, to attempt to contact Plaintiff by phone, automated 14 phone system, and certified mail, to provide a way for Plaintiff to contact Defendants in a timely manner, and to post a prominent 15 16 link on Defendants' Internet homepage. (Id. ¶¶ 11-13.)

17Plaintiff alleges that Defendants also violated HBOR because18Defendants' notice of default was missing a declaration that19Defendants had contacted Plaintiff about options to avoid20foreclosure. (Id. ¶ 17.) Plaintiff alleges he is able to tender21the unpaid amount under the loan agreement if he obtains22financing. (Id. ¶ 20.)

Plaintiff also alleges Defendants do not acknowledge that the Plaintiff owns the property at issue, and Plaintiff is therefore suing Defendants for Quiet Title. (Id. \P 30.)

Defendants have provided the Deed of Trust for the property at issue, showing that Plaintiff did not represent that the property was Plaintiff's primary residence when Plaintiff entered

1 the mortgage agreement. (RJN, Ex. B at 14.) A notice of default 2 was originally recorded in July 2009 and a notice of sale was 3 recorded in October 2009. (<u>Id.</u>, Exs. D, E.)

Plaintiff recorded two grant deeds in 2010, transferring a
20% interest in the property to the Plaintiff and three other
persons and then transferring an 80% interest to Plaintiff and a
20% interest to an entity named VA 1, Inc. (Id., Exs. F, G.) The
property was sold at a trustee's sale in July 2010, but the
trustee's deed was rescinded in August 2010. (Id., Exs. H, I.)

10 A new notice of default was recorded in June 2013, based on 11 the same default referenced in the 2009 notice of default. (<u>Id.</u>, 12 Ex. J, p. 4.) A declaration of compliance was attached to the 13 notice of default, stating that the Plaintiff was contacted at 14 least 30 days earlier to discuss options to avoid foreclosure. 15 (<u>Id.</u>, Ex. H at 5.)

When Plaintiff purchased the property at issue, he owned at least three other properties. (<u>Id.</u>, Exs. L, N, P.) Two of these properties have since been foreclosed. (<u>Id.</u>, Exs. M, O.) Plaintiff alleges he owned, maintained, and used the property at issue. (Compl. ¶ 26.) Plaintiff does not allege that the property was his principal residence.

Plaintiff seeks damages, an injunction preventing Defendants from foreclosing on Plaintiff's property, an order quieting title, and a judgment that the deed is void. (Compl. 7.)

25 On May 4, 2015, Defendants filed a motion to dismiss for 26 failure to state a claim. (Def.'s Mot. Dismiss, Dkt. No. 6.) 27 Plaintiff has not filed an opposition.

28 ///

1 II. LEGAL STANDARD

Under Rule 12(b)(6) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, 2 a complaint is dismissed if it fails to state a claim for which 3 relief can be granted. Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(b)(6). When 4 5 considering a 12(b)(6) motion, we assume the truth of the 6 plaintiff's allegations and construe the allegations in the light most favorable to the plaintiff. Resnick v. Hayes, 213 F.3d 443, 7 447 (9th Cir. 2000). To survive a motion to dismiss, a complaint 8 must allege enough facts to state a claim that is "plausible on 9 10 its face." Bell Atl. Corp. v. Twombly, 550 U.S. 544, 570 (2007). 11 III. DISCUSSION

12

A. Judicial Noticeability

Defendants ask the Court to take judicial notice of several records related to Plaintiff's mortgage for the property at issue. (RJN 2.) Defendants also request judicial notice of government records documenting the merger of the bank that originated Plaintiff's mortgage. (<u>Id.</u>) Plaintiff has not filed an opposition to Defendants' request.

19 In a motion to dismiss, the Court generally reviews only the 20 contents of the complaint. Cervantes v. City of San Diego, 5 F.3d 21 1273, 1274 (9th Cir. 1993). However, the Court may also consider 22 documents outside the complaint if their authenticity is not contested and the complaint necessarily depends on them. Knievel 23 24 <u>v. ESPN</u>, 393 F.3d 1068, 1076 (9th Cir. 2005). The Court may also 25 consider matters of public record in deciding a 12(b)(6) motion. 26 Mack v. South Bay Beer Distribs., 798 F.2d 1279, 1282 (9th Cir. 27 1986).

After reviewing the complaint and the documents for which 1 2 judicial notice has been requested, the Court takes notice of all exhibits included in Defendants' Request for Judicial Notice. 3 Each of these documents is either central to the complaint or is a 4 matter of public record. Plaintiff has not contested the 5 authenticity of any of these documents. It is appropriate to 6 7 consider these documents together with the complaint in deciding the motion to dismiss. 8

9

B. Plaintiff's Claims Under HBOR

10 Cal. Civ. Code §§ 2923.5 and 2923.55 protect rights 11 pertaining to certain mortgages, defaults, and foreclosures. 12 These sections protect only "owner occupied residential real 13 property," defined as property used as the borrower's principal 14 residence. Cal. Civ. Code § 2924.15(a). Further, the loan 15 secured by the principal residence must be made for "personal, 16 family, or household purposes." <u>Id.</u>

The deed of trust for the property at issue shows that Plaintiff did not assert that the property was owner occupied. (<u>See RNJ Ex. B, ¶ 32.</u>) Other documents indicate that Plaintiff's principal residence was elsewhere. (<u>See RNJ Exs. F, G.</u>) Not even in the complaint does Plaintiff allege that the property at issue was his principal place of residence, or that the mortgage loan was made for "personal, family, or household purposes."

Plaintiff seeks relief under Cal. Civ. Code § 2923.5 for property not protected by this law. Therefore, Plaintiff does not state a claim for which relief can be granted, and this portion of Plaintiff's complaint must be dismissed.

28 ///

1

C. Plaintiff's Claim for Quiet Title

2 A claim to quiet title must include (1) a description of the property at issue; (2) a statement of plaintiff's title to the 3 property; (3) the adverse claims to the title for which 4 determination is sought; (4) the date for which the determination 5 of rights is sought; and (5) a prayer for determination of 6 7 plaintiff's title against adverse claims. Cal. Code Civ. Proc. § 761.020. A mortgagor cannot quiet title against a mortgagee 8 without first tendering the amount owed on the mortgage. Miller 9 10 v. Provost, 26 Cal. App. 4th 1703, 1707 (1994).

11 Plaintiff does not allege he has tendered his remaining mortgage debt; Plaintiff has merely alleged that he will pay the 12 13 debt if he obtains financing. (Compl. ¶ 20.) Tender is valid 14 only if the amount owed is given to the creditor. Nguyen v. Calhoun, 105 Cal. App. 4th 428, 439 (2003). Plaintiff cannot 15 16 state a claim for quiet title without alleging tender of the debt. 17 Plaintiff also does not state the date as to which he seeks a 18 determination of rights. Plaintiff therefore does not state a claim for quiet title. 19

20 **IV. CONCLUSION**

21 Plaintiff's complaint does not state a claim upon which 22 relief can be granted. The complaint is therefore dismissed with 23 leave to amend within 21 days.

24 IT IS SO ORDERED.

25

28

26 Dated: July 23, 2015

1 the serson

DEAN D. PREGERSON United States District Judge