1		JS-6	
$\frac{1}{2}$		FILED	
2		CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT	
4		March 16, 2016	
5		BY: <u>VPC</u> DEPUTY	
6			
7			
8			
9	UNITED STATES D	ISTRICT COURT	
10	CENTRAL DISTRICT	COF CALIFORNIA	
11			
12	JORGE ALVAREZ, as an individual, and	CASE No. 2:15-cv-03657-SJO- VBK	
13	on behalf of all others similarly situated,	PROPOSED ORDER AND	
14	Plaintiff,	FINAL JUDGMENT GRANTING FINAL APPROVAL OF FLSA	
15	vs. 9021PHO FASHION SQUARE LLC, a	SETTLEMENT	
16	California Limited Liability Company;	Judge: Hon. Judge S. James Otero Date: March 21, 2016 Time: 10:00 a.m.	
17	9021PHO RESTAURANTS LLC, a California Limited Liability Company;	Dept.: 1	
18	9021PHO BEVERLY HILLS LLC a		
19 20	California Limited Liability Company; 9021PHO GLENDALE GALLERIA LLC,		
20 21	a California Limited Liability Company;		
21	9021PHO KTOWN LLC, a California Limited Liability Company; 9021PHO		
23	SUNSET LLC, a California Limited		
24	Liability Company; 9021PHO THOUSAND OAKS LLC, a California		
25	Limited Liability Company; 9021PHO		
26	WESTWOOD LLC, a California Limited Liability Company; and DOES 1 through		
27	10,		
28	Defendants.		
	1		
	*[PROPOSED] ORDER AND FINAL JUDGMENT GRANTING FINAL SETTLEMENT APPROVAL		
		Dock	

This matter came on for hearing on March 21, 2016, at 10:00 a.m., in Department 1 of the United States District Court for the Central District of California before the Honorable S. James Otero. Having considered all papers filed and proceedings held herein, having reviewed the proposed Settlement and the record in the above captioned matter, and good cause appearing thereto, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED AS FOLLOWS:

The terms "Settlement" or "Settlement Agreement" shall refer to the 1. revised Settlement Agreement filed by Plaintiffs Jorge Alvarez and Javier Clara on February 9, 2016, and all terms herein shall have the same meaning as the terms defined in the Settlement Agreement, unless specifically provided herein.

2. The Court grants final approval of the Parties' Settlement Agreement because it meets the criteria for settlement approval under the Fair Labor Standards Act ("FLSA"), pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b). The Settlement is a fair and reasonable resolution of a bona fide dispute over FLSA provisions.

3. The Court finds that the Settlement was achieved in an adversarial context, that Plaintiffs were represented by attorneys experienced in wage and hour litigation and capable of protecting Plaintiffs' rights, that the Settlement reflects a reasonable compromise over issues actually in dispute, and that the terms of the Settlement are fair and reasonable.

The Court finds that attorneys' fees in the amount of \$38,500 and 4. actual litigation costs of \$5,884.07 are reasonable and justified by the evidence submitted, and orders that those amounts be paid to Plaintiffs' counsel in accordance with the terms of the Settlement Agreement.

/// 25 26 /// ///

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

27

REPROSED ORDER AND FINAL JUDGMENT GRANTING FINAL SETTLEMENT **APPROVAL**

2

28

1	5. This document shall constitute a judgment for purposes of Rule 58 of		
2	the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The Action is hereby dismissed on the		
3	merits and with prejudice.		
4	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
5). Jame Oten		
6	Dated:, 2016		
7	The Honorable S. James Otero United States District Judge		
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14 15			
15			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
	3 [PROPOSED] ORDER AND FINAL JUDGMENT GRANTING FINAL SETTLEMENT		
	APPROVAL		