UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

			CIVIL MINUTE	S - GENERAL				
Case	No.	CV 15-3724 F	MO (ASx)		Date	June 16, 2015		
Title		Mirko Hoffman v. County of Los Angeles, et al.						
Present: The Honorable		Honorable	Fernando M. Olg	uin, United States	District	Judge		
Vanessa Figue			roa	No	ne Pre	sent		
Deputy Cler			<	Court Re	eporter	/ Recorder		
Attorneys Present for			or Plaintiffs:	Attorneys P	ys Present for Defendants:			
None Pres			ent	N	None Present			
Proceedings: (In Chambers) Order to Show Cause Re: Dismissal Re: Lack of Prosecution								
Absent a showing of good cause, an action must be dismissed without prejudice if the summons and complaint are not served on a defendant within 120 days after the complaint is filed. Fed. R. Civ. P. 4(m). Generally, a defendant must answer the complaint within 21 days after service (60 days if the defendant is the United States). Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(a). The court may dismiss the action prior to the 120 days, however, if plaintiff(s) has/have not diligently prosecuted the action.								
In the present case, it appears that one or more of these time periods has not been met. Accordingly, the court, on its own motion, orders plaintiff(s) to show cause in writing on or before June 19, 2015 , why this action should not be dismissed for lack of prosecution. Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 78(b), the court finds that this matter is appropriate for submission without oral argument. The Order to Show Cause will stand submitted upon the filing of plaintiff's response, if plaintiff/defendant files:								
•	An ans	wer by the follo	owing defendant(s)	: ALL DEFEN	DANTS	3		
•		f's application f	for entry of default	pursuant to Fed. R.	Civ. P.	55(a):		
	Plaintif	f's motion for d	efault judgment pu	rsuant to Fed. R. Ci	v. P. 55	(b):		

on or before the date indicated above, the court will consider this a satisfactory response to the Order to Show Cause.

	00	_ :	00	
Initials of Preparer	vdr			