

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
WESTERN DIVISION

TALMADGE ADIB TALIB,) Case No. CV 15-03825-JAK (DFM)
Plaintiff,)
v.) Order Accepting Report and
JUAN GUERRERO et al.,) Recommendation of United States
Defendants.) Magistrate Judge

DANIEL A. DAVIS,) Case No. CV 15-03829-JAK (DFM)
Plaintiff,)
v.) Order Accepting Report and
JUAN GUERRERO et al.,) Recommendation of United States
Defendants.) Magistrate Judge

ROLAND LEROY REESE-BEY,) Case No. CV 15-03833-JAK (DFM)
Plaintiff,)
v.) Order Accepting Report and
JUAN GUERRERO et al.,) Recommendation of United States
Defendants.) Magistrate Judge

1 ROMANÁH HOLMES-BEY,
2 Plaintiff,
3 v.
4 JUAN GUERRERO et al.,
5 Defendants.

} Case No. CV 15-04822-JAK (DFM)
}
} Order Accepting Report and
} Recommendation of United States
} Magistrate Judge

6
7 Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1), the Court has reviewed the Second
8 Amended Complaints, the records on file, and the Report and
9 Recommendation of the assigned United States Magistrate Judge. The Court
10 has also reviewed Plaintiffs' written objections to the Report and
11 Recommendation and made a de novo determination of those portions of the
12 Report and Recommendation to which objections are made.

13 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

14 1. Except as set forth in paragraph 2, the Report and
15 Recommendation is approved and accepted.

16 2. Based on the information contained in Plaintiffs' objections, the
17 Court will afford Plaintiffs an opportunity to amend their third claims for
18 infringement of religious rights.

19 3. The following claims are dismissed with leave to amend: all claims
20 against Defendants Luna, Wallace, Worrell, Atkins, and Juarez; Plaintiffs'
21 Monell claims against the County; Plaintiffs' third claims for infringement of
22 religious rights in violation of the First Amendment; Plaintiffs' equal
23 protection claims; and Plaintiffs' 42 U.S.C. §§ 1985(2) and (3), 1986 claims.

24 4. The following claims are dismissed without leave to amend:
25 Plaintiffs' tenth claims for retaliation against their Fifth Amendment right to
26 remain silent; Plaintiffs' eleventh claims for denial of liberty without due
27 process of law in violation of the Fourteenth Amendment; Plaintiffs' thirteenth
28 claims for deprivation of rights under color of law; Plaintiffs' fourteenth claims

1 for violation of California Civil Code § 52.1; Plaintiffs' eighteenth claims for
2 extortion; Plaintiffs' nineteenth claims for violation of California Civil Code §
3 52.5, human trafficking and slavery.

4 5. Plaintiffs are ordered to file their Third Amended Complaints
5 within twenty-eight (28) days of the date of this Order. The Third Amended
6 Complaints must be a complete and independent document and must not refer
7 to prior pleadings. The Third Amended Complaints must not include any of
8 the claims dismissed without leave to amend in paragraph 4 above. The Third
9 Amended Complaints also must not include any new claims or name any new
10 defendants.

11 6. Plaintiffs are cautioned that failure to file a Third Amended
12 Complaints within the time specified by this Order may result in the dismissal
13 of this action with prejudice on the grounds stated in the Report and
14 Recommendation of the United States Magistrate Judge and/or for failure to
15 prosecute.

16
17 Dated: April 12, 2016



18 JOHN A. KRONSTADT
19 United States District Judge
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28