1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

17

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

AUG 2 8 2013

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

AAREFAH MOSAVI,

Plaintiff,

v.

11 MT. SAN ANTONIO COLLEGE, CHESTER BROWN; LORRAINE JONES; in her individual and official capacities; JAMES P. CZAJA, in his

individual and official capacities; WILLIAM T. SCROGGINS, in his

individual and official capacities;
BAILEY SMITH, in her individual and

official capacities; and DOES 1-10, inclusive,

Defendants.

Case No. CV 15-4147-VAP (AFMx)

FINAL JUDGMENT AS TO ALL CLAIMS AND PARTIES

This action was filed on June 3, 2015. All claims have now been resolved, as follows:

All claims against Defendants Mt. San Antonio College, Lorraine Jones, James P. Czaja, William T. Scroggins, and Bailey Smith were dismissed by the Court's Order re: Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment, issued on May 10, 2018, by which the Court dismissed Plaintiff's First, Third, Seventh, Tenth, Eleventh, and Twelfth Claims for Relief (Docket No. 142), and the Court's Order Granting Summary Judgment to Defendants as to Plaintiff's Fourth Claim for

28

1	Relief, issued on June 1, 2018, by which the Court dismissed Plaintiff's Fourth
2	Claim for Relief. (Docket No. 154).
3	The claims against Defendant Chester Brown came on regularly for trial on
4	August 7, 2018, in Courtroom 8A of this United States District Court. Plaintiff
5	was represented by Ronald Cruz, Esq. and Shanta Driver, Esq., of United for
6	Equality and Affirmative Action Legal Defense Fund. Defendant Chester Brown
7	was represented by Martin Leonard Carpenter, Esq., and Joseph Anthony Gordon,
8	Esq. of Carpenter, Rothans & Dumont, LLP.
9	A jury of eight persons was regularly empaneled and sworn. Witnesses
10	were sworn and testified. After hearing the evidence and arguments of counsel,
11	the jury was duly instructed by the Court and the case was submitted to the jury.
12	The jury deliberated and returned to Court with its verdict on August 10, 2018.
13	The verdict read as follows:
14	
15	BANE ACT – BODILY INTEGRITY
16	
17	Question No. 1: Did Defendant Chester Brown act violently against Plaintiff
18	Aarefah Mosavi for exercising her right to be secure in her person and protected
19	from bodily restraint, harm, or personal insult?
20	Answer:
21	□ Yes ⊠ No
22	
23	Question No. 2: Did Defendant Chester Brown retaliate against Plaintiff
24	Aarefah Mosavi for having exercised her right to be secure in her person and
25	protected from bodily restraint, harm, or personal insult?
26	Answer:
27	☐ Yes 区 No
28	
- 1	

JUDGMENT AFTER TRIAL

1	RALPH ACT
2	Question No. 6: Did Defendant Chester Brown commit a violent act against
3	Plaintiff Aarefah Mosavi?
4	Answer:
5	☐ Yes ☒ No
6	
7	SEXUAL BATTERY
8	Question No. 11: Did Defendant Chester Brown intend to cause a harmful or
9	offensive contact with Plaintiff Aarefah Mosavi's sexual organs, groin, buttocks or
10	breast and a sexually offensive contact resulted?
11	Answer:
12	☐ Yes ☒ No
13	
14	BANE ACT – RELIGIOUS HARASSMENT
15	Question No. 15: Did Defendant Chester Brown act violently against Plaintiff
16	Aarefah Mosavi to prevent her from exercising or to retaliate against her from
17	having exercised her right to practice her religion by wearing a hajib?
18	Answer:
19	☐ Yes ☒ No
20	
21	PUNITIVE DAMAGES
22	Question No. 19: If you answered "Yes" to Question Nos. 4, 9, 13, or 17 or any
23	combination of Question Nos. 4, 9, 13, or 17, do you find by clear and convincing
24	evidence that Defendant acted with malice, oppression, or fraud?
25	Answer:
26	☐ Yes ☒ No
27	
28	
20	

JUDGMENT AFTER TRIAL

Procedure, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that Judgment on all claims is entered in favor of Defendants and Plaintiff shall take nothing by her Second Amended Complaint. 2. Defendants are awarded their costs as provided by law. Chief United States District Judge

JUDGMENT AFTER TRIAL