Ι

		0
1 2		Ŭ
2		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	United States District Court	
9	Central Distri	ct of California
10		
11	BONDED APPAREL, INC.,	Case No. 2:15-cv-04406-ODW (JEM)
12	Plaintiff,	ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFF'S
13	V.	MOTION TO DISMISS
14	R2D APPAREL, INC.; RABIN	COUNTERCLAIMS AS MOOT [55]
15 16	ARREHBORI; MRR2, INC.; REYMOND ARREHBORI; ROSS STORES, INC.	
10 17	d/b/a ROSS DRESS FOR LESS;	
18	BURLINGTON STORES, INC. d/b/a BURLINGTON COAT FACTORY; THE	
19	TJX COMPANIES, INC. d/b/a T.J. MAXX d/b/a MARSHALLS; DOES 1	
20	through 110, inclusive; M.R.R. FABRIC,	
21	INC.,	
22	Defendants.	
23	On October 19, 2015, Plaintiff Bonded Apparel, Inc. moved to dismiss	
24	Defendants MRR Fabric, Inc.'s counterclaims. (ECF No. 55.) On November 9, 2015,	
25	Defendant filed a timely First Amended Counterclaim. Fed. R. Civ. P. 15(a)(1); see	
26	also Fed. R. Civ. P. 15(a) advisory committee's notes ("Rule 15 [i]s the sole rule	
27	governing amendment of a pleading to add a counterclaim."). Because a motion to	
28	dismiss is moot once the pleading it attack	ts is superseded, Bauer Bros. LLC v. Nike,

1	Inc., No. 09CV500-WQH-BGS, 2010 WL 4569893, at *2 (S.D. Cal. Nov. 5, 2010),		
2	Plaintiff's Motion to Dismiss is DENIED as moot, and without prejudice to refiling in		
3	response to Defendant's First Amended Counterclaims. (ECF No. 55.)		
4			
5	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
6	November 10, 2015		
7	Ri ou h		
8	When a Wright		
9	OTIS D. WRIGHT, II UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE		
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE		
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
	2		