

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17

JS-6

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

MARIO RIVAS,)	Case No. CV 15-05793-DTB
)	
Plaintiff,)	J U D G M E N T
)	
vs.)	
)	
KNIGHT TRANSPORTATION,)	
INC. d/b/a ARIZONA KNIGHT)	
TRANSPORTATION, INC., a)	
foreign corporation, et al.,)	
)	
Defendants.)	

Following the Court’s Order granting defendant Knight Transportation, Inc.’s Motion for Partial Summary Judgment on plaintiff’s request for exemplary damages, this matter came on regularly for trial on December 5, 2016. The action was tried by a jury with United States Magistrate Judge David T. Bristow presiding. On December 8, 2016, according to the instructions given to the jury and the Special Verdict submitted to the jury, the jury returned its Special Verdict and answered the factual questions submitted to it as follows:

1. Was Cary Rose’s negligence a substantial factor in causing harm to Mario Rivas?

Answer “yes” or “no.”

Yes x No

1 **2. What are Mario Rivas’s total damages?**

2 a. Past economic loss:

3 Past medical expenses \$15,300.58

4 Past lost wages \$150,000.00

5 b. Future economic loss:

6 Future medical expenses \$172,000.00

7 Future lost wages \$100,000.00

8 c. Past noneconomic loss, including physical/mental suffering:

9 \$5,000.00

10 d. Future noneconomic loss, including physical/mental suffering:

11 \$1,500.00

12 **3. Was Cary Rose unlawfully under the influence of alcohol at the time**
13 **of the accident?**

14 Answer “yes” or “no.”

15 Yes x No

16 **4. Did Cary Rose operate his motor vehicle with malice, oppression, or**
17 **fraud?**

18 Answer “yes” or “no.”

19 Yes x No

20
21 Following the return of the jury’s Special Verdict, the Court found that Mr.
22 Rose was entitled to judgment as a matter of law on plaintiff’s request for punitive
23 damages.

24 ///

25 ///

26 ///

27 ///

28 ///

