

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

0

**United States District Court  
Central District of California**

MARY M. MOURING,  
Plaintiff,

v.

HP FINANCIAL, LLC, and DOES 1–10,  
inclusive,  
Defendants.

Case No. 2:15-CV-06962-ODW(JPR)

**ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE WHY  
THIS CASE SHOULD NOT BE  
DISMISSED FOR LACK OF  
PROSECUTION**

On September 3, 2015, Plaintiff Mary M. Mouring filed a complaint alleging that Defendant HP Financial, LLC, violated the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act and the Rosenthal Fair Debt Collection Practices Act. (Compl. ¶1, ECF No. 1.) However, Defendants never filed an answer. Plaintiff has taken no further action to prosecute this case.


Accordingly, the Court **ORDERS** Plaintiff to **SHOW CAUSE**, in writing, no later than October 25, 2016, why the Court should not dismiss this action without prejudice for lack of prosecution. No hearing will be held. Failure to file a timely

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

written response to this Order will result in the dismissal of the action without prejudice without further warning from the Court.

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

October 11, 2016



---

**OTIS D. WRIGHT, II**  
**UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE**