

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

ADRIAN DAMICO MOON,  
Petitioner,  
v.  
KIM HOLLAND, CEO/WARDEN,  
Respondent.

No. CV 15-7126 SVW (FFM)

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE WHY  
PETITIONER SHOULD NOT BE  
SANCTIONED FOR VIOLATING  
COURT ORDER

On June 18, 2013, this Court entered an order dismissing petitioner's fourth petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254 challenging the same conviction sustained by petitioner in Los Angeles Superior Court case no. BA362256 with prejudice. Because petitioner had persisted in filing additional habeas petitions challenging the same conviction despite the Court's dismissal on the merits with prejudice of the prior petitions, the Court order included the following warning to petitioner:

**Petitioner is advised that, if he files or attempts to file any further document in this district that reasonably can be construed as an attempt to challenge the BA362256 Conviction, he will be found to be in civil contempt and will be sanctioned appropriately, including, but not limited to, by the entry of a prefiling order.**

1 Order: Dismissing Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus with Prejudice; and  
2 Denying Certificate of Appealability, filed June 18, 2013.

3 Because the instant petition appears to be an attempt to challenge the same  
4 BA362256 conviction (Petition, paragraphs 1 and 5) petitioner is ordered to show  
5 cause, if any he has, within 20 days of the date of this order why he should not be  
6 held in civil contempt and sanctioned appropriately.

7  
8 DATED: October 1, 2015

9  
10 /S/ FREDERICK F. MUMM  
11 FREDERICK F. MUMM  
12 United States Magistrate Judge  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28