

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

GERALDINE NICHOLSON, an individual, et al.,

Plaintiffs, vs.

CITY OF LOS ANGELES, et al.,

Defendants.

CASE NO. CV15-07594 DDP(RAOx)

Hon. Dean D. Pregerson – Ctrm.3, 2<sup>nd</sup> Fl Hon. Mag Judge Rozella A. Oliver – Ctrm.F, 9<sup>th</sup> Fl

PROTECTIVE ORDER RE: DISCLOSURE OF CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION

Plaintiff is seeking materials and information that Defendant City of Los Angeles ("City") maintains as confidential, such as personnel files of the police officers involved in this incident, Force Investigation Division materials and information, Internal Affairs materials and information, and other administrative materials and information currently in the possession of the City and which the City believes need special protection from public disclosure and from use for any purpose other than prosecuting this litigation;

27 ///

WHEREAS, Plaintiff is also seeking official information contained in the personnel files of the involved police officers, LAPD Officers, which the City maintains as strictly confidential and which the City believes need special protection from public disclosure and from use for any purpose other than prosecuting this litigation;

WHEREAS, the City asserts that the confidentiality of the materials and information sought by Plaintiff is recognized by California and federal law, as evidenced *inter alia* by *California Penal Code* section 832.7 and *Kerr v. United States Dist. Ct. for N.D. Cal.*, 511 F.2d 192, 198 (9th Cir. 1975), aff'd, 426 U.S. 394 (1976);

WHEREAS, the City has not publicly released the materials and information referenced above except under protective order or pursuant to court order, if at all;

WHEREAS, the City contends these materials and information are of the type that has been used to initiate disciplinary action against Los Angeles Police Department ("LAPD") officers, and has been used as evidence in disciplinary proceedings, where the officers' conduct was considered to be contrary to LAPD policy;

WHEREAS, the City contends that absent a protective order delineating the responsibilities of nondisclosure on the part of the parties hereto, there is a specific risk of unnecessary and undue disclosure by one or more of the many attorneys, secretaries, law clerks, paralegals and expert witnesses involved in this case, as well as the corollary risk of embarrassment, harassment and professional and legal harm on the part of the LAPD officers referenced in the materials and information;

WHEREAS, the City contends that the unfettered disclosure of the materials and information, absent a protective order, would allow the media to share this information with potential jurors in the area, impacting the rights of the City herein to receive a fair trial.

///

///

## **ORDER ON STIPULATION**

The Court, having found good cause, Orders as follows:

- 1. Defendants (hereinafter "Disclosing Party(ies)") may designate as confidential any personnel files, videos, Internal Affairs materials or any other materials or writing that they, in good faith, believe is protected from disclosure within the meaning of FRCivP 26(g), in that they believe the material contains confidential or private information. Such materials may be classified as subject to this protective order by marking the material, each document or writing with a watermark that includes words such as "Confidential," "Confidential Documents," "Confidential Material," "Subject to Protective Order," or words of a similar effect, and that includes the case name and case number. Materials and writings so designated, and all privileged information derived therefrom [hereinafter collectively referred to as "Confidential Material"], shall be treated in accordance with the terms of this Order. In making this designation, the Disclosing Parties are also representing that no portion of the materials is segregable and, therefore, subject to production without restriction as "Confidential."
- 2. Confidential Material may be used by the persons receiving such information [hereinafter "Receiving Party(ies)"] only for the purpose of litigation of this case, and for such other purposes as permitted by law.
- 3. This Order applies not only to the Confidential Material, but also to (1) any information copied or extracted from the Confidential Material; (2) all copies, excerpts, summaries or compilations of Confidential Material; and (3) any testimony, conversations, or presentations by Receiving Parties that might reveal Confidential Material.

4. The Confidential Material may only be disclosed to the Court and to the following "qualified" persons:

///

- (a) Counsel of record for the parties to this civil litigation;
- (b) Defendants City of Los Angeles and Los Angeles PoliceDepartment;
- (c) Attorneys, paralegals, law clerks, stenographic, clerical and secretarial personnel who are employees in the offices of counsel referred to in subparagraph (a);
  - (d) Parties to the litigation;
  - (e) Expert witnesses consulted and/or retained for this action; and
  - (f) The judge and court personnel, including stenographic reporters.
- 5. Prior to the disclosure of any Confidential Material to any person described in paragraph 3(a), (c) or (d), counsel for the Receiving Party who seeks to use or disclose such Confidential Material shall first provide a copy of this Stipulation and have the individual to whom the Receiving Party intends to disclose said Confidential Material sign the Nondisclosure Agreement set forth in Attachment "A", stating that the person has received and read a copy of the Stipulation and understands that s/he is bound by the terms of the Stipulation.
- 6. Unless made on the record in this litigation, counsel making the disclosure to any qualified person described herein shall retain the original executed copy of the Nondisclosure Agreements until thirty (30) days after this litigation has become final, including any appellate review, and monitoring of an injunction. Counsel for the Receiving Party shall maintain all signed Nondisclosure Agreements and shall produce the original signature page upon reasonable written notice from opposing counsel. If an issue arises regarding a purported unauthorized disclosure of Confidential Material,

upon noticed motion of contempt filed by the Disclosing Parties, counsel for the Receiving Party may be required to file the signed Nondisclosure Agreements, as well as a list of the disclosed materials, in camera with the Court having jurisdiction of the Stipulation.

- 7. The court reporter, videographer, and audiographer, if any, who record all or part of the depositions in this matter shall be subject to this Order. In preparing the original deposition videotape, audiotape, or portions thereof, any copies thereof, or portions of copies thereof, all materials and testimony designated as "Confidential Material", including testimony derived from the "Confidential Material", shall be segregated from the rest of the deposition. No copies of such segregated "Confidential Material" portions of the materials described above shall be provided to any persons other than those persons identified in paragraph 4. Nothing in this agreement is intended to limit the rights of third parties to obtain such Confidential Material through discovery and subpoena power in other proceedings, subject to a motion for a protective order filed in those proceedings by the party seeking to prevent disclosure of the Confidential Material.
- 8. If any "Confidential Material" or testimony derived from such materials occurs at a deposition, those attending such portions of the depositions shall be bound by this Order and, therefore, shall not disclose to any person or entity, in any manner, including orally, any statements made by Defendants City of Los Angeles and Los Angeles Police Department, or any other current or former employee of the Los Angeles Police Department during the "Confidential" sections of said depositions.
- 9. An inadvertent failure to designate qualified materials or items does not, standing alone, waive the Disclosing Party's right to secure protection under this Order for such material. Upon being notified of the correction of a designation, the Receiving Party must make reasonable efforts to assure that the material is treated in accordance with this provisions of this Order.

- 10. Upon final termination of this litigation, including any appeal pertaining thereto, all materials still classified as Confidential Material at that time, and all copies thereof, including copies provided to any qualified person in paragraph 3 herein above, shall be returned to the Disclosing Party within thirty (30) days.
- a subpoena or other request seeking Confidential Material, s/he or it shall immediately give written notice to counsel for the Disclosing Parties, identifying the Confidential Material sought and the time in which production or other disclosure is required. Such notice shall be given sufficiently in advance of the date for production or other disclosure so that the Disclosing Parties have the opportunity to obtain an order barring production or other disclosure, or to otherwise respond to the subpoena or other request for production or disclosure of Confidential Material. The Receiving Party also shall immediately give notice to the party who caused the subpoena or other request to issue that the material is subject to this Order and include a copy of this Order. In no event should production or disclosure be made without prior written approval by the Disclosing Party's Counsel unless required by court order arising from a motion to compel production or disclosure of Confidential Material.
- 12. Any pleadings, motions, briefs, declarations, stipulations, exhibits or other written submissions to the Court in this litigation which contain or incorporate Confidential Material shall be filed and maintained in accordance with Local Rule 79-5, which governs the filing of materials under seal. Any other pleadings, motions, briefs, declarations, stipulations, exhibits or other written submissions that refer but do not contain or incorporate Confidential Material, shall designate the particular aspects

that are confidential so as to enable the Court, in drafting presumptively public orders relating to these filings under seal, to determine whether there is evidence which the Court should attempt not to disclose. If any papers to be filed with the Court contain Confidential Material, the proposed filing shall be accompanied by an application to file the papers or the portion thereof containing the protected information, under seal and that the application shall be directed to the judge to whom the papers are directed. Pending the ruling on the application, the papers or portions thereof subject to the sealing application shall be lodged under seal.

- 13. Counsel for the parties agree to request that any motions, applications or other pre-trial proceedings which would entail the disclosure of Confidential Material be heard by the Court in a manner that would preserve the confidential nature of the information, unless having heard opposition from counsel to such a process, the Court orders otherwise.
- 14. Nothing herein shall prejudice any party's rights to object to the introduction of any Confidential Material into evidence.
- 15. Any violation of this Order may be punished by any and all appropriate measures including, without limitation, contempt proceedings and/or monetary sanctions.
- 16. Any party bound by the Order who contests the confidential nature of materials produced pursuant to this Order may move the Court for an order to have the materials removed from the protective order and to have the materials declared not confidential, or otherwise move to modify the Order as to some or all of the materials.
- 17. Any procedures specified above in this Protective Order are in addition to, and not in lieu of, compliance with the local rules regarding discovery motions.

## ATTACHMENT "A" NONDISCLOSURE AGREEMENT

1	
$\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$	I,do solemnly swear that I am fully familian
3	with the terms of the Protective Order entered in Geraldine Nicholson, et al. v. City of
4	Los Angeles, et al., United States District Court for the Central District of California,
5	Central Division, Case No. No.CV15-07594 DDP (RAOx), and hereby agree to
6	comply with and be bound by the terms and conditions of said Order. I hereby consent
7	to the jurisdiction of the United States District Court for the Central District of
8	California for purposes of enforcing this Order.
9	Camorina for purposes of enforcing this Order.
10	Dated: Signed:
11	Dated Signed
12	IT IC CO ODDEDED
13	IT IS SO ORDERED.
14	Dated: August 1, 2016  By: Rozella a. Oli
15	HONORABLE ROZELLA A. OLIVER
16	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	