1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	ROGELIO ELOPRE,	1:15-cv-01729-JLT (HC)
12	Petitioner,	ORDER TRANSFERRING CASE TO THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR
13	v.	THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
14	SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF NORWALK,	
15 16	Respondent.	
17	Petitioner, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed a habeas corpus action pursuant to	
18	28 U.S.C. § 2254.	
19	The federal venue statute requires that a civil action, other than one based on diversity	
20	jurisdiction, be brought only in "(1) a judicial district where any defendant resides, if all	
21	defendants reside in the same state, (2) a judicial district in which a substantial part of the events	
22	or omissions giving rise to the claim occurred, or a substantial part of the property that is the	
23	subject of the action is situated, or (3) a judicial district in which any defendant may be found, if	
24	there is no district in which the action may otherwise be brought." 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b).	
25	In this case, the petitioner is challenging a conviction from Los Angeles County, which is	
26	in the Central District of California. Therefore, the petition should have been filed in the United	
27	States District Court for the Central District of California. In the interest of justice, a federal	
28	court may transfer a case filed in the wron	ng district to the correct district. See 28 U.S.C. §

1	1406(a); Starnes v. McGuire, 512 F.2d 918, 932 (D.C. Cir. 1974).	
2	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that this matter is transferred to the United	
3	States District Court for the Central District of California.	
4		
5	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
6	Dated: November 18, 2015 /s/ Jennifer L. Thurston	
7	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	2	