

LINK:

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

CIVIL MINUTES – GENERAL

Case No.	CV 15-09224-BRO (AGR_x)	Date	March 8, 2016
Title	JOHN R. CHASE V. RESIDENTIAL CREDIT SOLUTIONS, INC. ET AL		

Present: The Honorable **BEVERLY REID O’CONNELL, United States District Judge**

Renee A. Fisher

Not Present

N/A

Deputy Clerk

Court Reporter

Tape No.

Attorneys Present for Plaintiffs:

Attorneys Present for Defendants:

Not Present

Not Present

Proceedings: (IN CHAMBERS)

**ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE
RE: FAILURE TO OPPOSE**

Pending before the Court are two motions: (1) Defendant Residential Credit Solutions, Inc.’s Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff’s Second Amended Complaint, (Dkt. No. 27 (hereinafter, “RCS’s Motion”)); and, (2) Defendant Ocwen Loan, LLC’s Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff’s Second Amended Complaint, (Dkt. No. 29 (hereinafter, “Ocwen’s Motion”). Both motions noticed a hearing date of March 21, 2016. (Dkt. Nos. 27, 29.)

Under the Central District’s Local Rules, a party must oppose a motion at least twenty-one (21) days prior to the scheduled hearing date. *See* C.D. Cal. L.R. 7-9. Thus, the oppositions to both RCS’s and Ocwen’s Motions were due February 29, 2016. To date, Plaintiff has only filed an opposition to Ocwen’s Motion. (*See* Dkt. No. 32 (opposing Dkt. No. 29); *see also* Dkt. No. 35 (Notice of Non-Opposition filed by Defendant RCS).) Pursuant to Local Rule 7-12, the failure to file an opposition “may be deemed consent to the granting . . . of the motion.” *See* C.D. Cal. L.R. 7-12.

Accordingly, Plaintiff is **ORDERED TO SHOW CAUSE** as to why the Court should not grant RCS’s Motion. **Both (1) Plaintiff’s response to this Order and (2) Plaintiff’s opposition to RCS’s Motion, if any, shall be filed by no later 4:00 p.m. on Friday, March 11, 2016.**

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Initials of Preparer

rf