

JS-6

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

ERIC ARDOLINO, individually and
on Behalf of All Others Similarly
Situating,

Plaintiff,

v.

MANNKIND CORPORATION,
ALFRED MANN, MATTHEW
PFEFFER, and HAKAN EDSTROM,

Defendants.

Case No. 2:16-CV-00348 RGK
(GJSx)

JUDGMENT

1 **Whereas**, on June 15, 2016, Plaintiffs, individually and on behalf of all other
2 persons similarly situated, filed the Amended Class Action Complaint for Violation of
3 the Federal Securities Laws. (Dkt. No. 49.)

4 **Whereas**, on June 29, 2016, Defendants MannKind Corporation, Hakan
5 Edstrom, and Matthew Pfeffer (“Defendants”) filed their Motion to Dismiss the
6 Consolidated Amended Complaint. (Dkt. No. 50.)

7 **Whereas**, on July 15, 2016, Plaintiffs filed their Opposition to Defendants’
8 Motion to Dismiss the Consolidated Amended Complaint. (Dkt. No. 53.)

9 **Whereas**, on August 8, 2016, Defendants filed their Reply in Support of
10 Defendants’ Motion to Dismiss the Consolidated Amended Complaint. (Dkt. No. 54.)

11 **Whereas**, on August 19, 2016, Plaintiffs filed a Notice of Supplemental
12 Authority in Further Support of Lead Plaintiffs’ Opposition to Defendants’ Motion to
13 Dismiss the Consolidated Amended Complaint. (Dkt. No. 56.)

14 **Whereas**, on August 22, 2016, Defendants filed their Objection and Response
15 to Plaintiffs’ Supplemental Authority in Further Support of Opposition to Defendants’
16 Motion to Dismiss the Consolidated Amended Complaint. (Dkt. No. 58.)

17 **Whereas**, on August 23, 2016, the Court granted Defendants’ Motion to
18 Dismiss the Consolidated Amended Complaint with prejudice as to all claims and
19 without leave to amend. (Dkt. No. 60.)

20 **THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND**
21 **DECREED THAT:**

- 22 1. This action is dismissed with prejudice as to all Defendants;
23 2. The Court retains jurisdiction to enforce this judgment and its previous
24 orders

25 //

26 //

27

28

1 3. The Court, finding that no reason exists for delay, hereby directs the
2 Clerk to enter this final judgment, pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 58.

3
4
5 Dated: September 19, 2016



Hon. R. Gary Klausner
United States District Judge

6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28