

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

JAMES MICHAEL NEWMAN,)	CASE NO. CV 16-941-DSF (PJW)
)	
Petitioner,)	ORDER ACCEPTING REPORT AND
)	ADOPTING FINDINGS, CONCLUSIONS,
v.)	AND RECOMMENDATIONS OF UNITED
)	STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE, AND
STU SHERMAN, WARDEN, ET AL.,)	DENYING CERTIFICATE OF
)	APPEALABILITY
Respondents.)	
_____)	

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. Section 636, the Court has reviewed the Petition, records on file, and the Report and Recommendation of the United States Magistrate Judge. Further, the Court has engaged in a *de novo* review of those portions of the Report to which Petitioner has objected. The Court accepts the Report and adopts the findings, conclusions, and recommendations of the Magistrate Judge.

Further, for the reasons stated in the Report and Recommendation, the Court finds that Petitioner has not made a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right and, therefore, a certificate of appealability is denied. See 28 U.S.C.

1 § 2253(c)(2); Fed. R. App. P. 22(b); *Miller-El v. Cockrell*, 537 U.S.
2 322, 336 (2003).

3 9/1/17

4 DATED: _____.



7 _____
8 DALE S. FISCHER
9 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28