Jerome Dean v.	Joshua Fluty et al	Dog. 87
Jerome Dean v. 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	UNITED STATES	FILED CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT Feb 7, 2017 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA BY: PMC DEPUTY JS-6 DISTRICT COURT CT OF CALIFORNIA CASE NO.: CV16-00979 SVW (FFMx)
12	DESCRIED DESCRIPTION OF THE STATE OF THE STA	Hon. Stephen V. Wilson, Ctrm. 6, 2 nd fl. Mag. Frederick F. Mumm, Ctrm. 580, Roybal
13	Plaintiff,	inag, 1 reaction 1 . Idamin, Orm. 300, Noyou
14		(XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
15	vs.	
16	JOSHUS FLUTY, an individual;	
17 18	JOSHUS FLUTY, an individual; CHARLIE BECK, AN INDIVIDUAL; and CITY OF LOS ANGELES, a public entity; and DOES 1 through 10, inclusive,	
19		
20	Defendants.	
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26	After considering the moving, opposing, and reply papers, on February 2, 2017, the	
27	Court issued its Order granting the Motion for Summary Judgment in favor of Defendants	
28	City of Los Angeles, Charlie Beck and Joshua Fluty.	
		1

Therefore, for the reasons set forth by this Court in its Order granting the Summary Judgment or Partial Summary Judgment (Pacer No. 85), judgment is hereby entered in Defendants' favor and against Plaintiff JEROME DEAN. As the Defendants are the prevailing party, they shall be entitled to recover their costs reasonably incurred pursuant to F.R.C.P. 54(d).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: February 7, 2017

HONORABLE STEPHEN V. WILSON UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE