C N CV 16 15(0 DMC (DAO)

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA CIVIL MINUTES—GENERAL

D (F 1

06 0000

| Case No. | Date February 26, 2020 | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|
| Title Isaac Haber v. Darren R. Jamison, et al. | Page 1 of 2 | | | |
| Present: The Honorable DOLLY M. GEE, UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE | | | | |
| KANE TIEN | NOT REPORTED | | | |
| Deputy Clerk | Court Reporter | | | |
| Attorneys Present for Plaintiff(s) None Present | Attorneys Present for Defendant(s) None Present | | | |

Proceedings: IN CHAMBERS — ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE WHY CASE SHOULD NOT BE DISMISSED FOR LACK OF PROSECUTION [38]

This is a shareholder derivative action that has been related to another case, *In re Capstone Turbine Corp. Securities Litigation*, Case No. 2:15-cv-08914-DMG-RAO ("Capstone Securities Litigation").

In its March 13, 2018 Order [Doc. # 38] and in response to the parties' March 9, 2018 stipulation [Doc. # 37], this Court stayed these proceedings

until the earlier of (i) the close of fact discovery in the [Capstone Securities Litigation] Securities Action, or (ii) the deadline for appealing a dismissal of the Securities Action with prejudice

Within thirty (30) days after either the close of fact discovery in the Securities Actions, or the deadline for appealing a dismissal of the Securities Action with prejudice (whichever occurs first), the Parties in this Action will meet and confer in good faith to determine a schedule for the Action going forward, and will submit a proposed scheduling stipulation for this Court's review and approval.

[Doc. # 38 at 2.]

Fact discovery in the *Capstone Securities Litigation* closed on April 26, 2019 [*see* CV 15-8914, Doc. # 100-1] and the case was closed on November 15, 2019 following a class action settlement. [*See* CV 15-8914, Doc. # 134.]

No relevant further action has since been taken in this action. Accordingly, Plaintiffs are **ORDERED TO SHOW CAUSE** why this case should not be dismissed for lack of prosecution.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA CIVIL MINUTES—GENERAL

| Case 1 | No. CV 16-1569-DMG (RAOx) | Date | February 26, 2020 | |
|--------|--|------|-------------------|--------|
| | | | | |
| Title | Isaac Haber v. Darren R. Jamison, et al. | | Page | 2 of 2 |
| | | | | |

By no later than **March 12, 2020**, Plaintiffs shall file a response indicating whether they intend to continue to pursue any claims raised this action and, if so, Plaintiffs shall propose a schedule for further proceedings.

Plaintiffs' failure to file a response by the above-indicated date shall result in the dismissal of this action with prejudice for lack of prosecution.

IT IS SO ORDERED.