Sanford B. Weiss v. Edmond O Reilly et al Doc. 39

LINK:

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CIVIL MINUTES - GENERAL

Case No.	CV 16-02004 BRO (AJWx)	Date	February 2, 2017
Title	Sanford B. Weiss v. Edmond O Reilly et al		

Present: The Honorable **BEVERLY REID O'CONNELL**

Renee Fisher	Myra Ponce	N/A
Deputy Clerk	Court Reporter / Recorder	Tape No.

Attorneys Present for Plaintiffs:	Attorneys Present for Defendants:
None	None

Proceedings: **(IN CHAMBERS)**

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE RE: DISMISSAL FOR LACK OF PROSECUTION

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE RE: DISMISSAL FOR LACK OF PROSECUTION AS TO DEFENDANTS EDMOND O REILLY AND RITA O REILLY.

Plaintiff is ORDERED to show cause why this case should not be dismissed as to defendants Edmond O Reilly and Rita O Reilly, for lack of prosecution. Link v. Wabash R. Co., 370 U.S. 626 (1962) (court has inherent power to dismiss for lack of prosecution on its own motion). In this matter:

Plaintiff(s) have failed to file a proof of service as to defendants Edmond O Reilly and Rita O Reilly within 90 days of the filing of the Complaint. Plaintiff(s) can satisfy this order by showing that service was effectuated within the 90 day deadline or by showing good cause for the failure to do so. Fed. R. Civ. P. 4(m).

Plaintiffs must respond to this order within 20 days. Failure to respond to this OSC will be deemed consent to the dismissal of the action.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dockets.Justia.com