UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CIVIL MINUTES - GENERAL

Case No.: CV 16-02641-AB (PJWx) Date: July 20, 2016

Title: Kassuhun Mingestu Nigusa et al v. Los Angeles Police Department et al.

Present: The Honorable ANDRÉ BIROTTE JR., United States District Judge

Carla Badirian

Deputy Clerk Court Reporter

N/A

Attorney(s) Present for Plaintiff(s): Attorney(s) Present for Defendant(s):

None Appearing None Appearing

Proceedings: [In Chambers] Order To Show Cause Regarding Dismissal for Lack of Prosecution

Prosecution

Plaintiff(s) are ORDERED to show cause why this case should not be dismissed, for lack of prosecution. Link v. Wabash R. Co., 370 U.S. 626 (1962) (Court has inherent power to dismiss for lack of prosecution on its own motion).

The Court, on its own motion, orders Plaintiff(s) to show cause, in writing, on or before <u>August 3, 2016</u>, why this action should not be dismissed for lack of prosecution. Pursuant to Rule 78 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, the Court finds that this matter is appropriate for submission without oral argument. The Order to Show Cause will stand submitted upon the filing of Plaintiff(s) response. Failure to respond to this Order to Show Cause will be deemed consent to the dismissal of the action.

Absent a showing of good cause, an action must be dismissed without prejudice if the summons and complaint are not served on a Defendant within 90 days after the complaint is filed. Plaintiff(s) have failed to file a proof of service within 90 days of the filing of the Complaint on the following Defendant(s): Los Angeles Police Department; City of Los Angeles; Officer Stablewski; Officer Moss

Plaintiff(s) can satisfy this order by showing that service was effectuated within the 90 day deadline or by showing good cause for the failure to do so. Fed. R. Civ. P. 4(m).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

CV-90 (12/02) CIVIL MINUTES - GENERAL Initials of Deputy Clerk CB