

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

CIVIL MINUTES - GENERAL

Case No. CV 16-04334-SS

Date: January 23, 2017

Page 1 of 1

Title: Eduard Reitshtein v. Carolyn W. Colvin

DOCKET ENTRY: ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE WHY DEFENDANT SHOULD NOT BE
SANCTIONED FOR FAILING TO TIMELY FILE MEMORANDUM IN
SUPPORT OF ANSWER

PRESENT:

HONORABLE SUZANNE H. SEGAL, UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

Marlene Ramirez
Deputy Clerk

None
Court Reporter/Recorder

None
Tape No.

ATTORNEYS PRESENT FOR PLAINTIFF(S):

ATTORNEYS PRESENT FOR DEFENDANT(S):

None Present

None Present

PROCEEDINGS: (IN CHAMBERS)

On December 14, 2016, Eduard Reitshtein (Plaintiff) filed a Memorandum in Support of Plaintiff's Complaint (the "Complaint Memo."). Pursuant to the Court's June 23, 2016 Order Re: Procedures in Social Security Appeal, Defendant was required to file a "Memorandum in Support of Defendant's Answer" by January 18, 2016. As of today, Defendant has not filed the required memorandum.

Accordingly, Defendant is **ORDERED TO SHOW CAUSE**, within **ten (10) days** of the date of this Order, why he should not be sanctioned for failing to timely file a Memorandum in Support of Defendant's Answer. Plaintiff may discharge this Order by either filing a Memorandum in Support of Defendant's Answer, or a declaration, signed under penalty of perjury, establishing good cause as to why Defendant has been unable to file the required memorandum.

The Clerk of the Court is directed to serve a copy of this Order on counsel for Plaintiff and counsel for Defendant.

MINUTES FORM 11
CIVIL-GEN

Initials of Deputy Clerk MR