

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CIVIL MINUTES - GENERAL

Case No.	LA CV 16-4490 JVS (JCG)	Date	October 24, 2017
Title	<i>Garnik Sahakian v. R. Grillo, et al.</i>		

Present: The Honorable Jay C. Gandhi, United States Magistrate Judge

Kristee Hopkins

None Appearing

Deputy Clerk

Court Reporter / Recorder

Tape No.

Attorneys Present for Plaintiff:

Attorneys Present for Defendants:

None Appearing

None Appearing

Proceedings: (IN CHAMBERS) ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE WHY ACTION SHOULD NOT BE DISMISSED

On June 7, 2016, plaintiff Garnik Sahakian (“Plaintiff”), who is proceeding *pro se*, lodged a civil rights complaint (“Complaint”) pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. [Dkt. No. 1.] On March 28, 2017, the Court screened the Complaint, and found it wanting in several respects. [See Dkt. No. 9.] Accordingly, the Court dismissed the Complaint but granted Plaintiff leave to amend within thirty days. [*Id.* at 4.]

On April 27, 2017, Plaintiff filed a request for an enlargement of time, seeking until July 10, 2017 to file an amended complaint. [Dkt. No. 10.] On May 1, 2017, the Court granted in part and denied in part Plaintiff’s request, and gave Plaintiff an additional 30 days to file his First Amended Complaint (“FAC”). [Dkt. No. 11.]

On May 26, 2017, Plaintiff filed another request for an enlargement of time, seeking 90 days to file his FAC. [Dkt. No. 12.] On June 2, 2017, the Court granted in part and denied in part Plaintiff’s request, and again gave Plaintiff an additional 30 days to file his FAC. [Dkt. No. 13.]

On June 26, 2017, Plaintiff filed a third request for an enlargement of time, seeking an extension of time until he has seen an eye specialist to file his FAC. [Dkt. No. 14.] On July 5, 2017, the Court granted in part and denied in part Plaintiff’s request, and ordered Plaintiff to file his FAC no later than August 4, 2017. [Dkt. No. 15.] As of today’s date, Plaintiff has yet to file a First Amended Complaint.

Accordingly, within **fourteen (14) days** of the date of this Order, Plaintiff is **ORDERED TO SHOW CAUSE**, in writing, why this action should not be dismissed for failure to prosecute and/or comply with a court order. If Plaintiff files his First Amended

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CIVIL MINUTES - GENERAL

Case No.	LA CV 16-4490 JVS (JCG)	Date	October 24, 2017
Title	<i>Garnik Sahakian v. R. Grillo, et al.</i>		

Complaint within **fourteen (14) days** of the date of this Order, he need not separately respond to this Order to Show Cause.

Plaintiff is expressly warned that his failure to timely file a response will be deemed by the Court as consent to the dismissal of this action without prejudice.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

cc: Parties of Record

Initials of Clerk 00 : 00
kh