```
1
 2
 3
 4
 5
 6
 7
                        UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 8
                       CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
 9
                              WESTERN DIVISION
10
11 JOSE M. REYES,
                                       Case No. CV 16-6146-CBM(AJW)
12
                  Petitioner,
                                       MEMORANDUM AND ORDER
                                       DISMISSING PETITION
13
             v.
14 DEAN BORDERS,
15
                  Respondent.
16
17
        In 1989, petitioner was convicted and sentenced in the Los Angeles
18 Superior Court. [Petition at 2].
19
        On April 18, 1995, petitioner filed a petition for a writ of
20 habeas corpus in this Court challenging his 1989 conviction. Case No.
21 \text{ CV } 95-2532-\text{CBM}(\text{CT}). That petition was denied on the merits. On
22 September 26, 2005, petitioner filed a second habeas corpus petition in
23 this Court, which was denied as successive. Case No. CV 05-6970-
24 CBM(CT). The Ninth Circuit subsequently denied petitioner's request for
25 leave to file a successive petition.
        Petitioner filed the current petition for a writ of habeas corpus
26
27 on August 16, 2016. The petition again challenges petitioner's 1989
28 conviction.
```

1 "Before a second or successive application permitted by this section is filed in the district court, the applicant shall move in the appropriate court of appeals for an order authorizing the district court to consider the application." 28 U.S.C. § 2244(b)(3)(A). Absent authorization from the Court of Appeals, this Court lacks jurisdiction over a successive petition. <u>See Magwood v. Patterson</u>, 561 U.S. 320, 330-331 (2010); Cooper v. Calderon, 274 F.3d 1270, 1274 (9th Cir. 2001), cert. denied, 538 U.S. 984 (2003). Because petitioner has not obtained leave from the Court of Appeals, this successive petition is 10 dismissed for lack of jurisdiction.

It is so ordered.

12 Dated: JANUARY 26, 2017

2

3

11

13

14

2.4

25

26

28

Consuelo B. Marshall United States District Judge

 $^{^{1}}$ Ni-th Circuit Rule No. 22-3(a) provides that "[i]f a seco-d or successive petitio- or motio-, or a- applicatio- for authorizatio- to file such a petitio- or motio-, is mistake-ly submitted to the district court, the district court shall refer it to the court of appeals." Because the circumsta-ces i-dicate that petitio-er i-te-tio-ally filed this actio- i- this Court, -ot that he did so mistake-ly, Rule 22-3(a) is i-applicable. Nevertheless, the Clerk is directed to mail petitio-er a copy of Ni-th Circuit Form 12 so that petitio-er may file a-applicatio- for leave to file a seco-d or successive petitio- i- the Court of Appeals.