

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

| | | |
|--------------------------|---|------------------------------|
| STEVEN DEON TURNER, JR., |) | Case No. CV 16-6764-PA (JPR) |
| |) | |
| Plaintiff, |) | |
| |) | ORDER ACCEPTING FINDINGS AND |
| v. |) | RECOMMENDATIONS OF U.S. |
| |) | MAGISTRATE JUDGE |
| K. WILLIAMS, |) | |
| |) | |
| Defendant. |) | |
| |) | |
| |) | |


The Court has reviewed de novo the First Amended Complaint, the pleadings and records on file, and the Report and Recommendation of U.S. Magistrate Judge, which recommends that the Court grant Defendant's motion to dismiss the sole remaining claim of the FAC.

On April 5, 2018, Plaintiff filed objections to the R. & R., and on April 18 Defendant filed a response. As Defendant notes (Resp. at 3), Plaintiff does not specifically object to any particular portion of or finding in the R. & R.; indeed, he barely mentions it. Instead, he "discuss[es] issues regarding the validity of his incarceration, the republican form of government, and the Thirteenth Amendment, most of which are

1 wholly irrelevant to the issue before the Court." (Id.)
2 Accordingly, Plaintiff's objections do not undermine the thorough
3 reasoning and correct conclusions of the R. & R. Having reviewed
4 the R. & R. de novo, the Court accepts the findings and
5 recommendations of the Magistrate Judge.

6 IT THEREFORE IS ORDERED that Defendant's motion to dismiss
7 be granted, judgment be entered in Defendant's favor, and this
8 action be dismissed with prejudice.

9
10 DATED: April 25, 2018



PERCY ANDERSON
United States District Judge

11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28