1 MARQUES SAYYED BINNS, NO. LA CV 16-06853-VBF-E 2 Petitioner, ORDER ACCEPTING FINDINGS, 3 v. ORDER ACCEPTING FINDINGS, 4 NEIL McDONALD, Warden, OF UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE 5 Respondent. OF UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE 7 Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. section 636 and Fed. R. Civ. P. 72, the Court has reviewed the Petition for a Writ of Habeas Corpus, all records herein, and the Report and Recommendation ("R&R"). Further, the Court has engaged in <u>de novo</u> review of those portions of the R&R to which petitioner has objected. The Magistrate Judge's well-reasoned Report and Recommendation is ADOPTED. The habeas corpus petition is DENIED. 7 This action is DISMISSED with prejudice. 8 A separate final judgment shall be entered accordingly.	1			
4 5 6 7 7 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 1 11 MARQUES SAYYED BINNS, NO. LA CV 16-06853-VBF-E 12 Petitioner, ORDER ACCEPTING FINDINGS, V. ORDER ACCEPTING FINDINGS, V. ORDER ACCEPTING FINDINGS, V. OF UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE 13 NEIL MCDONALD, Warden, OF UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE 14 Respondent. 15 Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. section 636 and Fed. R. Civ. P. 72, the Court has reviewed the Petition for a Writ of Habeas Corpus, all records herein, and the Report and Recommendation ("R&R"). Further, the Court has engaged in <u>de novo</u> review of those portions of the R&R to which petitioner has objected. The Magistrate Judge's well-reasoned Report and Recommendation is ADOPTED. The habeas corpus petition is DENIED. 17 This action is DISMISSED with prejudice. A separate final judgment shall be entered accordingly.	2			
5 6 6 7 7 8 7 8 9 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 1 11 MARQUES SAYYED BINNS, INO. LA CV 16-06853-VBF-E 12 Petitioner, INORER ACCEPTING FINDINGS, V. 13 V. 14 MEL MCDONALD, Warden, INOL CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS 15 Respondent. 16 INTED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE 17 Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. section 636 and Fed. R. Civ. P. 72, the Court has reviewed the Petition for a Writ of Habeas Corpus, all records herein, and the Report and Recommendation ("R&R"). Further, the Court has engaged in de novo review of those portions of the R&R to which petitioner has objected. The Magistrate Judge's well-reasoned Report and Recommendation is ADOPTED. 17 This action is DISMISSED with prejudice. A separate final judgment shall be entered accordingly.	3			
6 7 7 8 7 8 9 CENTRAL DISTRICT COURT 9 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 10 11 MARQUES SAYYED BINNS, Petitioner, NO. LA CV 16-06853-VBF-E 12 Petitioner, ORDER ACCEPTING FINDINGS, 13 V. ORDER ACCEPTING FINDINGS, 14 NEIL McDONALD, Warden, OF UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE 15 Respondent. OF UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE 16 Nursuant to 28 U.S.C. section 636 and Fed. R. Civ. P. 72, the Court has reviewed the Petition for a Writ of Habeas Corpus, all records herein, and the Report and Recommendation ("R&R"). Further, the Court has engaged in de novo review of those portions of the R&R to which petitioner has objected. 17 The habeas corpus petition is DENIED. 17 This action is DISMISSED with prejudice. 18 A separate final judgment shall be entered accordingly.	4			
7 8 9 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 NO. LA CV 16-06853-VBF-E 11 Petitioner, ORDER ACCEPTING FINDINGS, 12 Petitioner, ORDER ACCEPTING FINDINGS, 13 v. CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS 14 NEIL MCDONALD, Warden, OF UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE 15 Respondent. OF UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE 16 Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. section 636 and Fed. R. Civ. P. 72, the Court has reviewed the Petition for 17 Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. section 636 and Fed. R. Civ. P. 72, the Court has reviewed the Petition for 18 a Writ of Habeas Corpus, all records herein, and the Report and Recommendation ("R&R"). Further, 16 the Court has engaged in <u>de novo</u> review of those portions of the R&R to which petitioner has objected. 17 The habeas corpus petition is DENIED . 17 This action is DISMISSED with prejudice. 18 A separate final judgment shall be entered accordingly.	5			
8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 NO. LA CV 16-06853-VBF-E 11 MARQUES SAYYED BINNS, ORDER ACCEPTING FINDINGS, 12 Petitioner, ORDER ACCEPTING FINDINGS, 13 v. CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS 14 MEIL McDONALD, Warden, OF UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE 15 Respondent. OF UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE 16 Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. section 636 and Fed. R. Civ. P. 72, the Court has reviewed the Petition for a Writ of Habeas Corpus, all records herein, and the Report and Recommendation ("R&R"). Further, 16 Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. section 636 and Fed. R. Civ. P. 72, the Court has reviewed the Petition for a Writ of Habeas Corpus, all records herein, and the Report and Recommendation ("R&R"). Further, 16 The Augistrate Judge's well-reasoned Report and Recommendation is ADOPTED. The habeas corpus petition is DENIED. 17 This action is DISMISSED with prejudice. A separate final judgment shall be entered accordingly.	6			
9 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 NO. LA CV 16-06853-VBF-E 11 Petitioner, 12 Petitioner, 13 NO. LA CV 16-06853-VBF-E 14 ORDER ACCEPTING FINDINGS, 15 ORDER ACCEPTING FINDINGS, 16 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS 17 NEIL MEDONALD, Warden, OF UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE 16 Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. section 636 and Fed. R. Civ. P. 72, the Court has reviewed the Petition for 17 Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. section 636 and Fed. R. Civ. P. 72, the Court has reviewed the Petition for 18 OR Court has engaged in <u>de novo</u> review of those portions of the R&R to which petitioner has objected. 19 The Magistrate Judge's well-reasoned Report and Recommendation is ADOPTED. 19 The habeas corpus petition is DENIED. 10 The habeas corpus petition is DENIED. 10 This action is DISMISSED with prejudice. 10 A separate final judgment shall be entered accordingly.	7			
MARQUES SAYYED BINNS, Petitioner, V. NEIL McDONALD, Warden, Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. section 636 and Fed. R. Civ. P. 72, the Court has reviewed the Petition for a Writ of Habeas Corpus, all records herein, and the Report and Recommendation ("R&R"). Further, the Court has engaged in <u>de novo</u> review of those portions of the R&R to which petitioner has objected. The Magistrate Judge's well-reasoned Report and Recommendation is ADOPTED . The habeas corpus petition is DENIED . This action is DISMISSED with prejudice. A separate final judgment shall be entered accordingly.	8	UNITED ST	TATES DISTRICT COURT	
11 MARQUES SAYYED BINNS, Petitioner, NO. LA CV 16-06853-VBF-E 12 Petitioner, ORDER ACCEPTING FINDINGS, 13 v. ORDER ACCEPTING FINDINGS, 14 NEIL McDONALD, Warden, OF UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE 15 Respondent. OF UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE 16 Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. section 636 and Fed. R. Civ. P. 72, the Court has reviewed the Petition for 18 a Writ of Habeas Corpus, all records herein, and the Report and Recommendation ("R&R"). Further, 19 the Court has engaged in <u>de novo</u> review of those portions of the R&R to which petitioner has objected. 19 The Magistrate Judge's well-reasoned Report and Recommendation is ADOPTED. 11 The habeas corpus petition is DENIED. 12 This action is DISMISSED with prejudice. 13 A separate final judgment shall be entered accordingly.	9	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
12 Petitioner, ORDER ACCEPTING FINDINGS, 13 v. ORDER ACCEPTING FINDINGS, 14 NEIL McDONALD, Warden, OF UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE 15 Respondent. OF UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE 16 Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. section 636 and Fed. R. Civ. P. 72, the Court has reviewed the Petition for 18 a Writ of Habeas Corpus, all records herein, and the Report and Recommendation ("R&R"). Further, 19 the Court has engaged in <u>de novo</u> review of those portions of the R&R to which petitioner has objected. 20 The Magistrate Judge's well-reasoned Report and Recommendation is ADOPTED. 21 The habeas corpus petition is DENIED . 22 This action is DISMISSED with prejudice. 23 A separate final judgment shall be entered accordingly.	10			
13 v. ORDER ACCEPTING FINDINGS, 14 NEIL McDONALD, Warden, OF UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE 15 Respondent. OF UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE 16 Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. section 636 and Fed. R. Civ. P. 72, the Court has reviewed the Petition for 18 a Writ of Habeas Corpus, all records herein, and the Report and Recommendation ("R&R"). Further, 19 the Court has engaged in <u>de novo</u> review of those portions of the R&R to which petitioner has objected. 20 The Magistrate Judge's well-reasoned Report and Recommendation is ADOPTED. 21 The habeas corpus petition is DENIED . 22 This action is DISMISSED with prejudice. 23 A separate final judgment shall be entered accordingly.	11	MARQUES SAYYED BINNS,) NO. LA CV 16-06853-VBF-E	
13 v.) CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS 14 NEIL McDONALD, Warden,) OF UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE 15 Respondent.) OF UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE 16	12	Petitioner,) ORDER ACCEPTING FINDINGS	
 NEIL McDONALD, Warden, Respondent. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. section 636 and Fed. R. Civ. P. 72, the Court has reviewed the Petition for a Writ of Habeas Corpus, all records herein, and the Report and Recommendation ("R&R"). Further, the Court has engaged in <u>de novo</u> review of those portions of the R&R to which petitioner has objected. The Magistrate Judge's well-reasoned Report and Recommendation is ADOPTED. The habeas corpus petition is DENIED. This action is DISMISSED with prejudice. A separate final judgment shall be entered accordingly. 	13	V		
 Respondent. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. section 636 and Fed. R. Civ. P. 72, the Court has reviewed the Petition for a Writ of Habeas Corpus, all records herein, and the Report and Recommendation ("R&R"). Further, the Court has engaged in <u>de novo</u> review of those portions of the R&R to which petitioner has objected. The Magistrate Judge's well-reasoned Report and Recommendation is ADOPTED. The habeas corpus petition is DENIED. This action is DISMISSED with prejudice. A separate final judgment shall be entered accordingly. 	14	NEIL McDONALD, Warden,		
 Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. section 636 and Fed. R. Civ. P. 72, the Court has reviewed the Petition for a Writ of Habeas Corpus, all records herein, and the Report and Recommendation ("R&R"). Further, the Court has engaged in <u>de novo</u> review of those portions of the R&R to which petitioner has objected. The Magistrate Judge's well-reasoned Report and Recommendation is ADOPTED. The habeas corpus petition is DENIED. This action is DISMISSED with prejudice. A separate final judgment shall be entered accordingly. 		Respondent.)	
Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. section 636 and Fed. R. Civ. P. 72, the Court has reviewed the Petition for a Writ of Habeas Corpus, all records herein, and the Report and Recommendation ("R&R"). Further, the Court has engaged in <u>de novo</u> review of those portions of the R&R to which petitioner has objected. The Magistrate Judge's well-reasoned Report and Recommendation is ADOPTED . The habeas corpus petition is DENIED . This action is DISMISSED with prejudice. A separate final judgment shall be entered accordingly.		`)	
 a Writ of Habeas Corpus, all records herein, and the Report and Recommendation ("R&R"). Further, the Court has engaged in <u>de novo</u> review of those portions of the R&R to which petitioner has objected. The Magistrate Judge's well-reasoned Report and Recommendation is ADOPTED. The habeas corpus petition is DENIED. This action is DISMISSED with prejudice. A separate final judgment shall be entered accordingly. 		Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. section 636 and Fed. R. Civ. P. 72, the Court has reviewed the Petition for a Writ of Habeas Corpus, all records herein, and the Report and Recommendation ("R&R"). Further,		
 the Court has engaged in <u>de novo</u> review of those portions of the R&R to which petitioner has objected. The Magistrate Judge's well-reasoned Report and Recommendation is ADOPTED. The habeas corpus petition is DENIED. This action is DISMISSED with prejudice. A separate final judgment shall be entered accordingly. 				
The Magistrate Judge's well-reasoned Report and Recommendation is ADOPTED . The habeas corpus petition is DENIED . This action is DISMISSED with prejudice. A separate final judgment shall be entered accordingly.		the Court has engaged in <u>de novo</u> review of those portions of the R&R to which petitioner has objected.		
The habeas corpus petition is DENIED . This action is DISMISSED with prejudice. A separate final judgment shall be entered accordingly.		The Magistrate Judge's well-reasoned Report and Recommendation is ADOPTED.		
This action is DISMISSED with prejudice. A separate final judgment shall be entered accordingly.		The habeas corpus petition is DENIED .		
A separate final judgment shall be entered accordingly.		This action is DISMISSED with prejudice.		
A separate final judgment shall be entered accordingly.				
24				
Dated: August 15, 2017 26 Dated: August 15, 2017 Valerie Baker Fairback		Dated: August 15, 2017	Valerie Baker Fairbank	
27 VALERIE BAKER FAIRBANK	27			
28 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE	28			