UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CIVIL MINUTES - GENERAL

Case No.	CV 16-7045 PA (MRW)			Date	December 29, 2016
Title	Hill v. Men's Central Jail				
Present: The Honorable		Michael R. Wilner			
Veronica Piper			n/a		
Deputy Clerk			Court Reporter / Recorder		
Attorneys Present for Plaintiff / Petitioner:			Attorneys Present for Defendant / Respondent:		
None present			None present		
Proceeding	gs: C	ORDER TO SHOW CAL	ISE		

- This is a prisoner civil rights action. The Court previously authorized the service of Plaintiff's complaint on a guard at the Los Angeles Men's Central Jail. (Docket #5.)
- That individual subsequently moved to dismiss one of the two causes of action for failure to state a claim. (Docket # 12.) The Court informed Plaintiff of his obligation to respond to the motion. (Docket # 14, 16.)
- However, other than a formulaic request for the appointment of a civil lawyer 3. (Docket #18), Plaintiff failed to respond to the motion by the due date. Additionally, Plaintiff was unable to provide any meaningful information (first name, badge number, specific identifying characteristics) about a second defendant to allow the Marshals Service to serve the complaint. (Docket #15.) The Court dismissed that individual without prejudice. (Docket # 16.)
- Plaintiff's failure to oppose the dismissal motion or to provide adequate service information raises the possibility that he has no interest in pursuing this civil case in federal court. Therefore, Plaintiff is ordered to show cause why the action should not be dismissed with prejudice pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41 for failure to diligently prosecute the action or to follow court orders.
- 5. To avoid this final dismissal, Plaintiff must file his response to the dismissal motion and a written explanation for the delay in responding promptly to the Court's instruction. Plaintiff's submissions will be due by February 1, 2017.

CV-90 (10/08) CIVIL MINUTES - GENERAL Page 1 of 1