1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 PARHAM JAVAHERIPUR, Plaintiff, Vs. 11 PIFTH THIRD BANK; and DOE 1-5, Defendants. 16 17 Having considered the parties' Stipulated Motion to Dismiss [Doc. # 27], the action between Plaintiff Parham Javaheripur and Defendant Fifth Third Bank is 18 action between Plaintiff Parham Javaheripur and Defendant Fifth Third Bank is 19 DATED: July 18, 2017 Ling DoLLY M. GEE 21 22 23 24 25 26 27			
3 4 5 6 7 8 NUNTED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 9 11 12 13 14 15 15 16 17 18 19 111 112 113 114 115	1		
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 9 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 111 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 111 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 111 112 113 114 115 115 116 117 118 119 1118 1118 1118 1118 1118 1118 1118 1118 1118	2		
5 6 7 8 7 8 9 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 1 11 PARHAM JAVAHERIPUR, Plaintiff, vs. 12 Vs. 13 FIFTH THIRD BANK; and DOE 1-5, Defendants. 14 Defendants. 15 Vs. 16 Vs. 17 Having considered the parties' Stipulated Motion to Dismiss [Doc. # 27], the action between Plaintiff Parham Javaheripur and Defendant Fifth Third Bank is dismissed with prejudice. Each party shall bear its own costs and expense. IT IS SO ORDERED. 12 DATED: July 18, 2017 13 Joury M. GEE UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE 14 DOLL Y M. GEE 15 DOLL Y M. GEE 16 DOLL Y M. GEE 17 DOLL Y M. GEE 18 DOLL Y M. GEE 19 DOLL Y M. GEE 11 DOLL Y M. GEE 12 DOLL Y M. GEE 13 DOLL Y M. GEE 14 DOLL Y M. GEE 15 DOLL Y M. GEE 16 DOLL Y M. GEE 17	3		
6 7 7 8 9 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 1 11 PARHAM JAVAHERIPUR, Plaintiff, vs. Case No.: CV 16-7279-DMG (JCx) 13 FIFTH THIRD BANK; and DOE 1-5, Defendants. Case No.: CV 16-7279-DMG (JCx) 14 FIFTH THIRD BANK; and DOE 1-5, Defendants. Case No.: CV 16-7279-DMG (JCx) 17 Having considered the parties' Stipulated Motion to Dismiss [Doc. # 27], the action between Plaintiff Parham Javaheripur and Defendant Fifth Third Bank is dismissed with prejudice. Each party shall bear its own costs and expense. IT IS SO ORDERED. 19 DATED: July 18, 2017 July M. GEE UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGEE 12 DOLLY M. GEE UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGEE 13 FIFTH THICK SUM State	4		
7 8 9 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 PARHAM JAVAHERIPUR, Plaintiff, vs. Case No.: CV 16-7279-DMG (JCx) 13 PARHAM JAVAHERIPUR, Vs. Case No.: CV 16-7279-DMG (JCx) 14 Defendants. ORDER OF DISMISSAL [27] 15 Defendants. Order of Dismiss [Doc. # 27], the action between Plaintiff Parham Javaheripur and Defendant Fifth Third Bank is dismissed with prejudice. Each party shall bear its own costs and expense. IT IS SO ORDERED. 12 DATED: July 18, 2017 July M. GEE UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE 14 DULLY M. GEE 15 DULLY M. GEE 16 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE	5		
Note Number of contracts	6		
9 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 PARHAM JAVAHERIPUR, Plaintiff, vs. Case No.: CV 16-7279-DMG (JCx) 13 PARHAM JAVAHERIPUR, Plaintiff, vs. Case No.: CV 16-7279-DMG (JCx) 14 PITTH THIRD BANK; and DOE 1-5, Defendants. Case No.: CV 16-7279-DMG (JCx) 15 No.: CV 16-7279-DMG (JCx) 16 No.: CV 16-7279-DMG (JCx) 17 Having considered the parties' Stipulated Motion to Dismiss [Doc. # 27], the action between Plaintiff Parham Javaheripur and Defendant Fifth Third Bank is dismissed with prejudice. Each party shall bear its own costs and expense. IT IS SO ORDERED. 12 DATED: July 18, 2017 13 July 18, 2017 14 July 18, 2017 15 DOLLY M. GEE UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE 16 Integen States District Judge	7		
 PARHAM JAVAHERIPUR, Plaintiff, vs. FIFTH THIRD BANK; and DOE 1-5, Defendants. Having considered the parties' Stipulated Motion to Dismiss [Doc. # 27], the action between Plaintiff Parham Javaheripur and Defendant Fifth Third Bank is dismissed with prejudice. Each party shall bear its own costs and expense. IT IS SO ORDERED. DATED: July 18, 2017 DATED: July 18, 2017 	8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
11 PARHAM JAVAHERIPUR, Case No.: CV 16-7279-DMG (JCx) 12 Plaintiff, VS. 13 FIFTH THIRD BANK; and DOE 1-5, ORDER OF DISMISSAL [27] 16 Defendants. ORDER OF DISMISSAL [27] 17 Having considered the parties' Stipulated Motion to Dismiss [Doc. # 27], the 18 action between Plaintiff Parham Javaheripur and Defendant Fifth Third Bank is 19 dismissed with prejudice. Each party shall bear its own costs and expense. 20 IT IS SO ORDERED. 21 DATED: July 18, 2017 22 DATED: July 18, 2017 23 DOLLY N. GEE UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE 24 25 25 1	9	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
11 Plaintiff, 12 vs. 13 FIFTH THIRD BANK; and DOE 1-5, 14 Defendants. 15 Image: Comparison of the parties of	10	PARHAM JAVAHERIPUR.	Case No.: CV 16-7279-DMG (JCx)
12 VS. 13 FIFTH THIRD BANK; and DOE 1-5, 14 Defendants. 15 Having considered the parties' Stipulated Motion to Dismiss [Doc. # 27], the 16 Having considered the parties' Stipulated Motion to Dismiss [Doc. # 27], the 18 action between Plaintiff Parham Javaheripur and Defendant Fifth Third Bank is 19 IT IS SO ORDERED. 20 IT IS SO ORDERED. 21 DATED: July 18, 2017 22 DATED: July 18, 2017 23 DOLLY M. GEE 24 It is the problem of the parties of	11		
 FIFTH THIRD BANK; and DOE 1-5, Defendants. Having considered the parties' Stipulated Motion to Dismiss [Doc. # 27], the action between Plaintiff Parham Javaheripur and Defendant Fifth Third Bank is dismissed with prejudice. Each party shall bear its own costs and expense. IT IS SO ORDERED. DATED: July 18, 2017 JAHLA M. Jac DOLLY M. GEE UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE 			ORDER OF DISMISSAL [27]
15 Defendants. 16 Having considered the parties' Stipulated Motion to Dismiss [Doc. # 27], the 17 Having considered the parties' Stipulated Motion to Dismiss [Doc. # 27], the 18 action between Plaintiff Parham Javaheripur and Defendant Fifth Third Bank is 19 dismissed with prejudice. Each party shall bear its own costs and expense. 20 IT IS SO ORDERED. 21 DATED: July 18, 2017 23 DOLLY M. GEE 24 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE 25 Image: Comparison of the particular of the partic		FIFTH THIRD BANK; and DOE 1-5,	
 Having considered the parties' Stipulated Motion to Dismiss [Doc. # 27], the action between Plaintiff Parham Javaheripur and Defendant Fifth Third Bank is dismissed with prejudice. Each party shall bear its own costs and expense. IT IS SO ORDERED. DATED: July 18, 2017 DATED: July 18, 2017 July 18, 2017 DOLLY M. GEE UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE 		Defendants.	
 Having considered the parties' Stipulated Motion to Dismiss [Doc. # 27], the action between Plaintiff Parham Javaheripur and Defendant Fifth Third Bank is dismissed with prejudice. Each party shall bear its own costs and expense. IT IS SO ORDERED. DATED: July 18, 2017 DATED: July 18, 2017 Lift M. GEE UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE Having Considered the parties' Stipulated Motion to Dismiss [Doc. # 27], the Action between Plaintiff Parham Javaheripur and Defendant Fifth Third Bank is dismissed with prejudice. Each party shall bear its own costs and expense. IT IS SO ORDERED. DATED: July 18, 2017 July 18,			
 action between Plaintiff Parham Javaheripur and Defendant Fifth Third Bank is dismissed with prejudice. Each party shall bear its own costs and expense. IT IS SO ORDERED. DATED: July 18, 2017 DATED: July 18, 2017 DOLLY M. GEE UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE 		Having considered the parties' Stipul	lated Motion to Dismiss [Doc. # 27], the
 dismissed with prejudice. Each party shall bear its own costs and expense. IT IS SO ORDERED. DATED: July 18, 2017 DATED: July 18, 2017 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE IIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIII			
 IT IS SO ORDERED. DATED: July 18, 2017 DOLLY M. GEE UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE 			
21 22 23 23 24 25 26			bear its own costs and expense.
22 DATED: July 18, 2017 23 DOLLY M. GEE 24 DOLLY M. GEE 25 26		IT IS SO ONDERED.	
23 DOLLY M. GEE 24 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE 25 26		DATED: July 18, 2017	Dolly M. Lee
24 25 26		2111221 0 dig 10, 2017	
25 26			UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
26			
П			
28	28		