Dockets.Justia.com

1	Gideon Kracov (State Bar No. 179815) LAW OFFICE OF GIDEON KRACOV 801 S. Grand Avenue, 11th Floor	
2	Los Angeles, CA 90017-4645	10.6
3	Tel: (213) 629-2071 Fax: (213) 623-7755	JS-6
4	Email: gk@gideonlaw.net	
5	Arthur Pugsley (State Bar No. 252200)	Đ
6	Melissa Kelly (State Bar No. 300817) LOS ANGELES WATERKEEPER	
7	120 Broadway, Suite 105 Santa Monica, CA 90401	
8	Tel: (310) 394-6162 Fax: (310) 394-6178	
9	Email: arthur@lawaterkeeper.org Email: melissa@lawaterkeeper.org	
10	Attorneys for Plaintiff LOS ANGELES WAT	TERKEEPER
11		
12	William W. Funderburk, Jr. (State Bar No. 17 Anna L. Le May (State Bar No. 258312)	(6244)
13	CASTELLON & FUNDERBURK LLP	
14	811 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 1025 Los Angeles, California 90017	
15	Tel. (213) 623-7515	
16	Fax. (213) 532-3984	
17	Email: wfunderburk@candffirm.com Email: alemay@candffirm.com	
18		
19	Attorneys for Defendant BELL FOUNDRY (	.0.
20	UNITED STATES DIS	STATE OF THE STATE
21	CENTRAL DISTRICT C	JF CALIFOKNIA
	LOS ANGELES WATERKEEPER, a non-	Case No.: 2:16-cv-07389-JFW-PJW
22	profit corporation, Plaintiff,	EPROPOSEDI CONSENT DECREE
23	VS.	AND ORDER
24	DELL FOLINDRY CO. a corporation	(Federal Water Pollution Control Act,
25	BELL FOUNDRY CO. a corporation, Defendant.	33 U.S.C. § 1251 et seq.)
26		Judge: John F. Walter
27		
28		
	Proposed Consent Decree 1	Case No.: 2:16-cv-07389-JFW-PJW

WHEREAS, Los Angeles Waterkeeper ("Waterkeeper") is a 501(c)(3) non-profit public benefit corporation organized under the laws of the State of California, with its main office in Santa Monica, California.

WHEREAS, Waterkeeper is dedicated to the preservation, protection and defense of the surface, ground, coastal and ocean waters of Los Angeles County from all sources of pollution and degradation;

WHEREAS, the Bell Foundry Co. facility is located at 5310 and 5311 Southern Avenue, South Gate, California ("Bell Facility" or "Facility").

WHEREAS, the Facility is owned and/or operated by Bell Foundry Co., a California corporation ("Defendant" or "Bell Foundry");

WHEREAS, on May 17, 2016, Waterkeeper sent a sixty (60) day notice letter ("Notice Letter") to Defendant, the United States Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA"), EPA Region IX, California's State Water Resources Control Board ("State Board") and the Regional Water Quality Control Board ("Regional Board"), stating its intent to file suit for violations of the Federal Water Pollution Control Act, 33 U.S.C. §§ 1251, et seq. ("Clean Water Act" or "CWA"). The Notice Letter alleged violations of the Clean Water Act for Defendant's alleged discharges of pollutants into storm drains and receiving waters, including the Los Angeles River ("River") and ultimately the Pacific Ocean, in alleged violation of National Pollution Discharge Elimination System ("NPDES") General Permit No. CAS000001 [State Board] Water Quality Order No. 97-03-DWQ ("1997 Permit"), as superseded by Order No. 2014-0057-DWQ ("2014 Permit"). The 1997 Permit and/or 2014 Permit may be referred to generically as the "Storm Water Permit";

WHEREAS, on October 2, 2016 Waterkeeper filed a complaint ("Complaint") against Defendant in the United States District Court, Central District of California (Case No. 2:16-cv-07389 JFW-PJW), entitled Los Angeles Waterkeeper v. Bell Foundry Co.

WHEREAS, the Defendant denies all allegations of the Complaint and Notice Letter;

WHEREAS, Plaintiff and Defendant (collectively referred to herein as the "Settling Parties" or "Parties") agree that it is in the Parties' mutual interest to enter into a Consent Decree setting forth terms and conditions appropriate to resolving the allegations set forth in the Complaint without further proceedings;

WHEREAS, all actions taken by the Defendant pursuant to this Consent Decree shall be made in compliance with all applicable federal, state and local rules and regulations;

NOW THEREFORE IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED BETWEEN THE SETTLING PARTIES AND ORDERED AND DECREED BY THE COURT AS FOLLOWS:

- 1. The Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action pursuant to Section 505(a)(1)(A) of the CWA, 33 U.S.C. § 1365(a)(1)(A);
- 2. Venue is appropriate in the Central District Court pursuant to Section 505(c)(1) of the CWA, 33 U.S.C. §1365(c)(1), because the Facility at which the alleged violations took place is located within this District;
- 3. The Complaint states a claim upon which relief may be granted against Defendant pursuant to Section 505 of the CWA, 33 U.S.C. § 1365;
  - Waterkeeper has standing to bring this action;
- 5. The Court shall retain jurisdiction over this matter for purposes of interpreting, modifying or enforcing the terms of this Consent Decree, or for as long thereafter as is necessary for the Court to resolve any motion to enforce this Consent Decree.

#### I. OBJECTIVES

6. It is the express purpose of the Parties entering into this Consent Decree to further the objectives set forth in the Clean Water Act, 33 U.S.C. §§

_	
2	
88	
3	
8	
4	
200	
5	
J	
6	
U	
7	
1	
8	
0	
9	
7	
10	
10	
1 1	
11	
10	
12	
13	
100	
14	
15	
16	
10	
17	
18	
10	
19	
1)	
20	
20	
21	
41	
22	
44	
23	
43	
24	
4	
25	
43	

1251, et seq., and to resolve those issues alleged by Waterkeeper in its Complaint. In light of these objectives and as set forth fully below, Defendant agrees, inter alia, to comply with the provisions of this Consent Decree, and to comply with the requirements of the Storm Water Permit and all applicable provisions of the CWA. Specifically, Receiving Water Limitations A, B and C at Section VI of the 2014 Permit, and Effluent Limitations A, B and C at Section V of the 2014 Permit, which requires, inter alia, that Defendant develop and implement Best Management Practices ("BMPs") designed to achieve Best Available Technology ("BAT") and Best Conventional Pollutant Control Technology ("BCT"). Bell Foundry Co. shall develop and implement BMPs necessary to comply with the 2014 Permit (or subsequently adopted Storm Water Permit) requirement to achieve compliance with BAT/BCT standards and with applicable Water Quality Standards ("WQS") including, inter alia, standards outlined in the "Water Quality Control Plan—Los Angeles Region: Basin Plan for Coastal Watersheds of Los Angeles and Ventura County" ("Basin Plan") and those contained in 40 C.F.R. § 131.38 ("California Toxics Rule"). BMPs must be developed and implemented to prevent discharges or to reduce contamination in storm water discharged from the Facility sufficient to achieve the numeric limits detailed in Table 1 at paragraph 21 below of this Consent Decree.

#### II. EFFECTIVE DATE AND TERMINATION

- 7. The term "Effective Date," as used in this Consent Decree, shall mean the last day for the United States Department of Justice and the United States Environmental Protection Agency (collectively "Federal Agencies") to comment on the Consent Decree, i.e., the 45th day following the Federal Agencies' receipt of the Consent Decree, or the date on which the Federal Agencies provide notice that they require no further review, and the Court enters the final Consent Decree.
  - 8. This Consent Decree will terminate 3 years from the Effective Date

26

("Termination Date") unless there is a prior ongoing, unresolved dispute regarding Defendant's compliance with its terms. Should there be such a dispute, Waterkeeper shall file a Notice of Dispute with the Court prior to the Termination Date, which shall identify the issue in dispute. The filing of such a Notice of Dispute shall extend the Termination Date until the Court determines the dispute has been resolved and thereupon dismisses the case, or, alternatively, if the Settling Parties file a stipulation for dismissal.

- 9. Bell Foundry may move the Court to terminate the Consent Decree at any time provided that the following conditions are satisfied:
  - Defendant has fully implemented all measures detailed in paragraph 15 below;
  - b. Defendant has revised and fully implemented a Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan ("SWPPP") and Monitoring and Reporting Program ("M&RP") pursuant to the requirements of this Consent Decree and the Storm Water Permit;
  - c. There are no ongoing, unresolved disputes regarding

    Defendant's compliance with this Consent Decree, including
    but not limited to disputes related to the payment of fees/costs,

    Supplemental Environmental Project ("SEP") implementation,
    compliance monitoring fees, implementation of storm water
    and non-storm water control BMPs, and compliance with
    numeric limits in Table 1;
  - All payments required under paragraphs 41 through 45 of this
     Consent Decree are made; and
  - e. Beginning with the 2017-18 Monitoring Period, monitoring data from four (4) consecutive storm water samples collected at each industrial discharge point demonstrate compliance with

8 9

10

11 12

13

14

15 16

17 18

19

20

21 22 23

24 25

27

26

- 10. To terminate early as provided above, Defendant shall file a motion for early termination with the Court. Defendant shall provide Plaintiff and its counsel with written notice at least thirty (30) days prior to filing any motion for termination of the Consent Decree.
- Upon receipt of the written request to terminate, Waterkeeper may 11. conduct an inspection of the Facility within thirty (30) calendar days and Bell Foundry will work with Waterkeeper to schedule and accommodate the inspection, if requested, within the 30-day period. The inspection will be conducted according to the rules applicable to Annual Site Inspections in paragraph 38 below. Unless there is an ongoing, unresolved dispute regarding Defendant's compliance with this Consent Decree, sixty (60) calendar days after written notice was given, Defendant may move the Court to terminate the Consent Decree and Waterkeeper shall not oppose the motion.

#### III. **COMMITMENTS OF THE PARTIES**

#### A. **Industrial Storm Water Pollution Control Measures**

- 12. To ensure there are no unauthorized non-stormwater discharges, any non-stormwater discharges from the Facility not authorized by the Storm Water Permit shall be considered a breach of this Consent Decree.
- All storm water pollution measures required by this Consent Decree 13. will be implemented at the Facility. Any disputes over the adequacy and/or timing of the implementation of BMPs shall be resolved pursuant to the force majeure (if applicable) and/or dispute resolution provisions of this Consent Decree, set out in Section IV below.
- 14. Compliance Standard. The storm water pollution control measures required by this Consent Decree shall be designed to comply with the design storm standard for treatment control BMPs set forth in Section X(H)(6) of the 2014

1	P
2	G
3	G
4	uı
5 .	pı
6	ar
7	th
_	

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

Permit ("Compliance Standard") based on historical rainfall measured at the South Gate Transfer Station (Gauge 1256Z) located at 9530 Garfield Avenue, South Gate, California 90280. The storm water pollution control measures agreed to under this Consent Decree shall be operated throughout the entire year. For purposes of this Consent Decree, properly documented discharges of storm water and/or storm water pollutants from the Facility in connection with rainfall events that exceed the Compliance Standard are not a violation of this Consent Decree and are not subject to requirement to meet Table 1 Numeric Limits.

- 15. <u>BMP Plan.</u> Bell has implemented the following BMPs at the areas identified in the site map attached as Exhibit A hereto:
  - a. Remove surplus and excess equipment from the storage area in discharge area 1;
  - b. Remove approximately 40 tons of dirt that had accumulated on the asphalt in storage area 1;
  - c. Install concrete diversion berm to control flow from storage area 1;
  - d. Paint the buildings located in the oil storage area, forklift and maintenance area and the aluminum foundry;
  - e. Install Ultra Filter Sock HMRM 1.0 heavy metal remover at Outfall 1;
  - f. Install Ultra Filter Sock sediment remover at Outfalls 1 and 2;
  - g. Install inlet guard drain filter sediment remover at Outfalls 1 and 2;
  - h. Purchase portable covers for materials stored outside the Aluminum Foundry;
  - i. Remove, and will continue to remove, surplus storage racks and other production pieces;
  - j. Repair walls in rear of building 1 by railroad to prevent the discharge of industrial materials;
  - k. Repair water tanks/cooling towers;
  - 1. Install downspout diversion from building 3;
  - m. Paint bins located in the truck parking area;
  - n. Build new baghouse collection bins;
  - o. Fix large crack that was close to the buildings near outfall 1 and 2; and
  - p. Clean area behind building 1 and placed rock under downspouts to prevent erosion
  - 16. Bell shall update its SWPPP and M&RP to reflect that the drainage

areas that discharge to outfalls 3 and 4 have no exposure to industrial activities and materials in accordance with Section XVII of the 2014 Permit. Bell shall include in its SWPPP an NEC Checklist and a certification statement demonstrating that outfalls 3 and 4 of the Facility have been evaluated and that industrial materials or activities (as defined in the 2014 Permit) are not and will not be in the foreseeable future exposed to precipitation.

- and potential discharge from the railroad tracks right-of-way on its sampling event visual observation forms required pursuant to the 2014 General Permit. In the event that discharges occur from the railroad tracks right-of-way, Bell shall sample and analyze any such discharges. If a discharge from this area is confirmed, Bell shall develop a set of BMPs consistent with the 2014 General Permit, incorporate such BMPs into an amended SWPPP, add this discharge as outfall 5 to its M&RP, and implement any BMPs as soon as practicable and by no later than Oct. 1, 2017.
- 18. In addition to Bell's current sweeping program, Bell will lease a monthly regenerative sweeper service after the Effective Date. Bell may cease leasing the monthly regenerative sweeper service after a treatment system has been fully implemented at the Facility. In the event a treatment system has been fully implemented at the Facility in compliance with this Consent Decree, Bell shall develop in its SWPPP, and implement at the Facility, a revised sweeping BMP program.
- 19. During the 2016-17 Monitoring Period, Bell shall determine flow rates and volume capacities in sufficient detail to allow the design, permitting and implementation of a treatment system per the terms of this Consent Decree.
- 20. The BMP Plan described in paragraph 15 above shall be completely implemented and functioning at the Facility no later than two (2) months after the Effective Date.

#### B. Numeric Limits

## 21. Numeric Limits for Storm Water Discharges.

## Table 1. Numeric Limits

Contaminant	Numeric Limit
Total Suspended Solids	100 mg/L
pH Chemical Oxygen Demand (COD) Oil and Grease Copper Zinc	6.0-9.0 S.U.
Chemical Oxygen Demand (COD)	120 mg/L
Oil and Grease	15 mg/L
Copper	0.013 mg/L*
Zinc	0.120 mg/L*
Aluminum	0.75 mg/L
Iron	1.00 mg/L

NOTE: An \* next to a Numeric Limit denotes a CTR Limit. Compliance with CTR based Numeric Limits for metals will be based on an evaluation of dissolved concentrations only.

- 22. Action Plan for Table 1 Exceedances during the Consent Decree. For all Monitoring Periods¹ during the term of the Consent Decree, beginning with the 2017-2018 Monitoring Period, during which analyses of storm water samples collected over the Monitoring Period demonstrates an exceedance of any parameters detailed in Table 1, Bell shall prepare and submit a plan for reducing and/or eliminating the discharge of pollutants ("Action Plan") to Waterkeeper by July 30 (following the Monitoring Period in which the exceedances giving rise to the obligation to submit an Action Plan occurred). In the event that a treatment system is installed, Bell Foundry shall only be required to submit an action plan if sample analysis demonstrates 2 or more exceedances of any single parameter of the numeric limits in Table 1, or any single exceedance of more than two times.
- 23. <u>Action Plan Requirements</u>. Each Action Plan submitted shall be in writing and shall include at a minimum: (1) the identification of the pollutant(s)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The Monitoring Period is defined as from July 1 – June 30,

exceeding Table 1's numeric limit, (2) an assessment of the source(s) of each exceedance, (3) the identification of additional BMPs that will be implemented to achieve compliance with the relevant numeric limit(s) and (4) time schedules for implementation of the proposed BMPs. The Action Plan may also propose alternative assessments of compliance on a mass loading basis or alternative means of compliance with the TMDLs that may be established by the State Water Board.

- 24. Action Plan Review. Waterkeeper shall have 30 days upon receipt of Defendant's Action Plan to provide Defendant with comments. Within 30 days from the date Waterkeeper comments on Defendant's Action Plan, Defendant shall provide Waterkeeper with a written explanation if Defendant refuses to develop and/or implement any of Waterkeeper's recommended additional BMPs. Any disputes as to the adequacy of the Action Plan shall be resolved pursuant to the dispute resolution provisions in Section IV of this Consent Decree.
- 25. If any structural BMPs require any government agency approval, then Defendant shall contact Waterkeeper to request an extension of the deadline, if necessary, to implement the structural BMPs requiring agency approval. Waterkeeper's consent to Defendant's requested extension shall not be unreasonably withheld.
- 26. Defendant shall have until October 1 (following the Monitoring Period in which the exceedances giving rise to the obligation to submit an Action Plan occurred) to implement the Action Plan. Defendant shall notify Waterkeeper in writing when the Action Plan has been implemented.
- 27. If sampling during the 2016-2017 Wet Season demonstrates 1) three or more exceedances of Numeric Limits in Table 1 or 2) one or more exceedances of any parameter by more than two times Numeric Limits in Table 1, Defendant shall design and begin construction of a treatment system that is sized pursuant to the Compliance Standard in paragraph 14. The treatment system shall be

implemented and functioning as soon as practicable, but no later than October 1, 2017 (subject to any delays addressed in paragraph 28).

- 28. If the treatment system requires any government agency approval, then Defendant shall contact Waterkeeper to request an extension of the deadline, if necessary, to implement the activity requiring agency approval. Waterkeeper's consent to Defendant's requested extension shall not be unreasonably withheld.
  - C. Sampling and Monitoring at the Facility
- 29. Sample Analysis and Sample Frequency. The Defendant shall collect storm water samples from each industrial discharge location in the event that discharges occur at the Facility during scheduled operating hours from at least four (4) qualifying storm events pursuant to the 2014 Permit. The Defendant shall analyze samples collected for the constituents identified in Table 1, as well as such additional constituents required by the 2014 Permit. Defendant shall use a state certified laboratory to conduct all analysis pursuant to this Consent Decree. The Defendant shall select laboratories and analytical limits such that, at a minimum, the method detection limits are below the numeric limits in Table 1.
- 30. Defendant shall notify Waterkeeper when it uploads an ad hoc sampling report to the SMARTS system.
- 31. Revising the M&RP. Within thirty (30) calendar days of the Effective Date of this Consent Decree, Defendant shall revise its Monitoring and Reporting Program ("M&RP") for the Facility to incorporate the storm water discharge requirements of this Consent Decree and the Storm Water Permit The revised M&RP shall require that the samples taken from the Facility's storm water discharges pursuant to the Storm Water Permit are analyzed for the constituents identified in Table 1 in addition to any other constituents required by the Storm Water Permit. The Defendant shall submit the revised M&RP to Waterkeeper for review and comment as soon as it is completed but in any event no later than thirty

(30) calendar days of the Effective Date. Waterkeeper shall provide comments, if any, to the Defendant within thirty (30) calendar days of receipt of the revised M&RP. The Defendant shall incorporate Plaintiff's comments into the M&RP, or shall justify in writing why any comment is not incorporated within thirty (30) calendar days of receiving comments. Any disputes over the adequacy of the revised M&RP shall be resolved pursuant to the dispute resolution provisions in Section IV of this Consent Decree.

#### D. Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan

32. SWPPP Revisions. Within thirty (30) calendar days of the Effective Date of this Consent Decree, Defendant shall revise the SWPPP to identify (1) current BMPs, (2) BMPs developed pursuant to this Consent Decree to control the discharge of pollutants from the Facility, (3) a description of all industrial activities, (4) corresponding potential pollutant sources for industrial activities, and (5) a description of the potential pollutants from each source. The Defendant shall submit the revised SWPPP to Waterkeeper for review and comment as soon as it is completed, but in any event no later than thirty (30) calendar days of the Effective Date. Waterkeeper shall provide comments, if any, to Defendant within thirty (30) calendar days of receipt of the SWPPP. Defendant shall incorporate Plaintiff's comments into the SWPPP, or shall justify in writing why any comment is not incorporated within thirty (30) calendar days of receiving comments. Any disputes as to the adequacy of the revised SWPPP shall be resolved pursuant to the dispute resolution provisions in Section IV off this Consent Decree.

# E. Employee Training

- 33. Within thirty (30) calendar days of the Effective Date, Defendant shall develop a training program, including any training materials, as necessary, for effective implementation of the training program ("Training Program").
  - 34. The Training Program shall ensure (a) that there are a sufficient

number of employees delegated to achieve compliance with the Storm Water Permit and this Consent Decree, and (b) that these employees are properly trained to perform the required compliance activities. Such Training Program shall be specified in the SWPPP.

- 35. The Training Program shall require specific training to include at least the following:
- a. Non-Storm Water Discharge Training. The Defendant shall train all applicable employees about the Storm Water Permit's prohibition of non-storm water discharges so that employees know what non-storm water discharges are, how to detect them, and how to prevent them;
- b. <u>BMP Training</u>. The Defendant shall train all employees responsible for BMP implementation and maintenance to ensure that BMPs are used effectively to prevent the exposure, discharge, and/or treatment of storm water at the Facility.
- c. <u>Sampling Training</u>. The Defendant shall train all individuals collecting samples at the Facility pursuant to this Consent Decree or the Storm Water Permit on the proper sampling protocols, including chain of custody requirements, to ensure storm water and/or non-storm water samples are properly collected, stored, and submitted to a certified laboratory;
- d. <u>Visual Observation Training</u>. The Defendant shall provide training to all individuals performing visual observations at the Facility pursuant to this Consent Decree and the Storm Water Permit.
- 36. Training shall be provided by a private consultant or a representative of Defendant familiar with the requirements of this Consent Decree and the Storm Water Permit, and shall be repeated as necessary to ensure that all such employees are familiar with the requirements of this Consent Decree, the Storm Water Permit, and the Facility's SWPPP. All new staff shall receive this training before

6

8

9 10

11

12 13

14

15 16

17 18

19

20

21

23

24

25

2627

28

assuming responsibilities for implementing the SWPPP or M&RP.

37. The Defendant shall maintain training records to document compliance with this Section E, and shall provide Waterkeeper with a copy of these records within fourteen (14) days of receipt of a written request.

# F. Compliance Monitoring and Reporting

- 38. Annual Site Inspections. Up to three Waterkeeper representatives or consultants (including an attorney), may conduct one inspection ("Site Inspection") at the Facility each year that this Consent Decree is in effect. Site Inspections shall occur during normal business hours and Waterkeeper shall provide Defendant with as much notice as possible, but at least twenty-four (24) hours notice prior to a Site Inspection during wet weather, and seventy-two (72) hours notice prior to a Site Inspection during dry weather. Notice will be provided by telephone and electronic mail. During the Site Inspection, Defendant shall allow Waterkeeper and/or its representatives access to the Facility's SWPPP, M&RP, storm water monitoring records, and non-privileged reports and data related to storm water monitoring at the Facility. During the Wet Weather inspection, Plaintiff may request that Defendant collect a sample of storm water discharge from the facility's designated discharge points referenced in its SWPPP. Defendant shall collect the sample and provide a split sample to Waterkeeper. Waterkeeper's representative(s) may observe the split sample(s) being collected by Defendant's representative. Waterkeeper shall be permitted to take photographs or video recording during any Site Inspection pursuant to this paragraph. If Waterkeeper takes photographs and/or video recording, Waterkeeper shall provide Defendant with the photographs and/or video within fourteen (14) calendar days after the Site Inspection. Waterkeeper agrees that all individuals who will participate in a Site Inspection will execute a waiver and release prior to the Site Inspection.
  - 39. Data Reporting. During the term of this Consent Decree, Defendant

shall provide Waterkeeper with a copy of all Consent Decree and Permit compliance and monitoring data, including inspection reports, related to the Facility's coverage under the Storm Water Permit on a quarterly basis. The Defendant shall provide Waterkeeper with all laboratory analyses related to sampling at the Facility within ten (10) business days of the Defendant's receipt of such information.

- Defendant shall copy Waterkeeper on all documents and communications related to storm water at the Facility that are submitted to the Regional Board, the State Board, and/or any State, local agency, county, or municipality. Such reports and documents shall be provided to Waterkeeper concurrently as they are sent to the agencies and/or municipalities. Any correspondence related to storm water received from any regulatory agency, State or local agency, county, or municipality shall be provided to Waterkeeper within ten (10) business days of receipt by the Defendant.
  - G. Environmental Project, Reimbursement of Litigation Fees and Costs, and Stipulated Penalties
- 41. Environmental Project. The Defendant agrees to make a payment of twenty thousand dollars (\$20,000) within thirty (30) days of the Effective Date to the Rose Foundation for a project related to water quality designed to analyze, reduce, prevent, or otherwise mitigate the ecological and/or public health effects of storm water and/or non-stormwater discharges into Los Angeles area waterbodies. The payment shall be mailed via certified mail or overnight delivery to Tim Little, Rose Foundation for Communities and the Environment, Attn: LA Waterkeeper v. Bell Foundry Receiver at 1970 Broadway, Suite 600, Oakland, CA 94612-2218. Defendant shall provide Waterkeeper with a copy of such payment.
  - 42. Reimbursement of Plaintiffs' Fees and Costs. The Defendant agrees to

partially reimburse Plaintiff for its investigation fees and costs, consultant fees and costs, reasonable attorneys' fees, and other costs incurred as a result of investigating and filing the lawsuit, and negotiating a resolution of this matter in an amount totaling forty thousand dollars (\$40,000). Defendant shall pay the \$40,000 within thirty (30) days of the Effective Date and shall be made payable to Law Office of Gideon Kracov and delivered by certified mail or overnight delivery at 801 S. Grand Ave., 11th Floor, Los Angeles, CA 90017.

- 43. <u>Compliance Monitoring Payment</u>. Defendant shall pay a total of five thousand dollars (\$5,000) to compensate Waterkeeper for costs and fees to be incurred for monitoring Defendant's compliance with this Consent Decree.

  Payment shall be made within fifteen (15) days of the Effective Date payable to "Los Angeles Waterkeeper" addressed to: Los Angeles Waterkeeper, 120

  Broadway, Suite 105, Santa Monica, California 90401, and sent via courier or overnight delivery. Failure to submit payment as required under this paragraph will constitute a breach of the Consent Decree.
- 44. Action Plan Payment. If Defendant is required to submit an Action Plan to Waterkeeper pursuant to this Consent Decree, Defendant's submission of that Action Plan shall include an Action Plan Payment in the amount of three thousand, five hundred dollars (\$3,500) payable to "Los Angeles Waterkeeper" addressed to: Los Angeles Waterkeeper, 120 Broadway, Suite 105, Santa Monica, California 90401, and sent via courier or overnight delivery. Failure to submit payment as required under this paragraph will constitute a breach of the Consent Decree.
- 45. <u>Stipulated Payment</u>. The Defendant shall make a remediation payment of six hundred dollars (\$600) for each missed deadline included in this Consent Decree. Payments for a missed deadline shall be made for the restoration and/or improvement of the watershed in the area affected by the Defendant's alleged

discharges and shall be awarded to The Rose Foundation, and mailed via certified mail or overnight delivery per the terms described above in paragraph 41. The Defendant agrees to make the stipulated payment within thirty (30) days of a missed deadline, unless the Waterkeeper agreed in writing to an extension of that deadline, and make the payment via overnight delivery or by certified mail. The Defendant shall provide Waterkeeper with a copy of each such payment at the time it is made.

## H. Agency Review of Consent Decree

46. Plaintiff shall submit this Consent Decree to the Federal Agencies, within three (3) business days of the final signature of the Parties, for agency review consistent with 40 C.F.R. § 135.5. The agency review period expires forty-five (45) calendar days after receipt by both agencies, as evidenced by written acknowledgement of receipt by the agencies or the certified return receipts, copies of which shall be provided to Defendant if requested. In the event that the Federal Agencies object to entry of this Consent Decree, the Parties agree to meet and confer to attempt to resolve the issue(s) raised by the Federal Agencies.

#### IV. DISPUTE RESOLUTION

- 47. This Court shall retain jurisdiction over this matter for the purposes of adjudicating all disputes among the Parties that may arise under the provisions of this Consent Decree. The Court shall have the power to enforce this Consent Decree with all available legal and equitable remedies, including contempt.
- 48. <u>Meet and Confer.</u> Either of the Settling Parties may invoke the dispute resolution procedures of this Section by notifying the other party in writing of the matter(s) in dispute and of the disputing party's proposal for resolution under this Section. The Parties shall then meet and confer in an attempt to resolve the dispute no later than fourteen (14) calendar days from the date of the notice.
  - 49. If the Parties cannot resolve the dispute within 14 days after the meet

Case No.: 2:16-cv-07389-JFW-PJW

and confer described in paragraph 48, the Parties agree to request a settlement meeting before the Judge assigned to this action. In the event that the Parties cannot resolve the dispute by the conclusion of the settlement meeting with the Judge, the Parties agree to submit the dispute via motion to the District Court.

50. In resolving any dispute arising from this Consent Decree, the Court shall have discretion to award attorneys' fees and costs to either party. The relevant portions of the then-applicable Clean Water Act, Rule 11 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, or any other legal authority, and applicable case law interpreting such provisions shall govern the allocation of fees and costs in connection with the resolution of any disputes before the District Court. Plaintiff and Defendant agree to file any waivers necessary for the Judge to preside over any settlement conference and motion practice.

# V. MUTUAL RELEASE OF LIABILITY AND COVENANT NOT TO SUE

- 51. In consideration of the above, upon the Effective Date of this Consent Decree, the Parties hereby fully release, except for claims for Defendant's failure to comply with this Consent Decree and as expressly provided herein, each other and their respective successors, assigns, officers, agents, employees, and all persons, firms and corporations having an interest in them, from any and all alleged CWA violations claimed in the Complaint, up to and including the Termination Date of this Consent Decree.
- 52. Nothing in this Consent Decree limits or otherwise affects Plaintiff's right to address or take any position that it deems necessary or appropriate in any formal or informal proceeding before the Regional Board, EPA, or any other judicial or administrative body on any other matter relating to the Defendant.
- 53. Neither the Consent Decree nor any payment pursuant to the Consent Decree shall constitute or be construed as a finding, adjudication, or

acknowledgement of any fact, law or liability, nor shall it be construed as an admission of violation of any law, rule, or regulation. The Defendant maintains and reserves all defenses they may have to any alleged violations that may be raised in the future.

- 54. Force Majeure. The Defendant shall notify Waterkeeper pursuant to the terms of this paragraph, when timely implementation of the requirements set forth in this Consent Decree becomes impossible, despite the timely good-faith efforts of the Defendant, due to circumstances beyond the reasonable control of the Defendant or its agents, and which could not have been reasonably foreseen and prevented by the exercise of due diligence by the Defendant. Any delays due to Defendant's failure to make timely and bona fide applications and to exercise diligent efforts to obtain necessary permits, or due to normal inclement weather, shall not, in any event, be considered to be circumstances beyond Defendant's control. In no circumstances shall a claim of inability to pay be considered Force Majeure.
- a. If the Defendant claims impossibility, they shall notify Waterkeeper in writing within twenty-one (21) calendar days of the date that the Defendant first knew of the event or circumstance that caused or would cause a violation of this Consent Decree. The notice shall describe the reason for the nonperformance and specifically refer to this Section. It shall describe the anticipated length of time the delay may persist, the cause or causes of the delay, the measures taken or to be taken by the Defendant to prevent or minimize the delay, the schedule by which the measures will be implemented, and the anticipated date of compliance. The Defendant shall adopt all reasonable measures to avoid and minimize such delays.
- b. The Parties shall meet and confer in good-faith concerning the nonperformance and, where the Parties concur that performance was or is impossible, despite the timely good faith efforts of the Defendant, due to circumstances beyond

26

27

28

the control of Defendant that could not have been reasonably foreseen and prevented by the exercise of due diligence by the Defendant, new deadlines shall be established.

- c. If Waterkeeper disagrees with the Defendant's notice, or in the event that the Parties cannot timely agree on the terms of new performance deadlines or requirements, either party shall have the right to invoke the Dispute Resolution Procedure pursuant to Section IV. In such proceeding, the Defendant shall bear the burden of proving that any delay in performance of any requirement of this Consent Decree was caused or will be caused by force majeure and the extent of any delay attributable to such circumstances.
  - d. If applicable, Defendant shall diligently file and pursue required applications for permits, consents, and approvals for the structural BMPs described in this Consent Decree, and shall diligently pursue the procurement of contractors, labor and materials to complete all such BMPs by the deadlines set forth herein, and shall use reasonable good faith efforts to meet these deadlines. Defendant shall be excused from meeting the deadlines in this Consent Decree for a specific BMP if circumstances beyond the reasonable control of Defendant in obtaining any required governmental permits, consents, or approvals due to the permitting agency's actions or inactions or delays associated with the fabrication and/or construction of materials prevent the attainment of the deadline for a specific BMP. Such delays may be excused if all of the following requirements are met: 1) Defendant has notified Waterkeeper via email and first class mail within ten (10) days of first learning about the anticipated delay; and 2) Defendant continues to exercise due diligence and reasonable good faith efforts in pursuing the permitting and implementation of the BMP in question and proposes a reasonable revised deadline. Any excused delay shall not excuse Defendant from implementing its other, unexcused obligations under this

Conse	nt I	Deci	99
Once	nt I	Jec:	-e

.19

### VI. MISCELLANEOUS PROVISIONS

- 55. <u>Construction</u>. The language in all parts of this Consent Decree shall be construed according to its plain and ordinary meaning, except as to those terms defined in the Storm Water Permit, the Clean Water Act, or specifically herein.
- 56. <u>Choice of Law</u>. The laws of the United States shall govern this Consent Decree.
- 57. <u>Severability</u>. In the event that any provision, paragraph, section, or sentence of this Consent Decree is held by a court to be unenforceable, the validity of the enforceable provisions shall not be adversely affected.
- 58. <u>Correspondence</u>. All notices required herein or any other correspondence pertaining to this Consent Decree shall be sent by regular mail or electronic mail as follows:

If to Plaintiff:
Arthur Pugsley
Melissa Kelly
Los Angeles Waterkeeper
120 Broadway, Suite 105
Santa Monica, CA 90401
arthur@lawaterkeeper.org
melissa@lawaterkeeper.org

With copies to:
Bruce Reznik
Executive Director
Los Angeles Waterkeeper
bruce@lawaterkeeper.org

If to Defendant:
William W. Funderburk, Jr.
Anna L. Le May
CASTELLÓN & FUNDERBURK LLP
811 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 1025

Los Angeles, CA 90017 wfunderburk@candffirm.com alemay@candffirm.com

With copies to:
Cesar Cappellini, President and CEO
Edgar Cruz
Bell Foundry Co.
5310 Southern Avenue
South Gate, CA 90280
ccappe@bfco.com
ecruz@bfco.com

Notifications of communications shall be deemed submitted three (3) days after the date that they are postmarked and sent by first-class mail, or immediately after acknowledgement of receipt via email by the receiving party. Any change of address or addresses shall be communicated in the manner described above for giving notices.

- 59. Effect of Consent Decree. Plaintiff does not, by its consent to this Consent Decree, warrant or aver in any manner that the Defendant's compliance with this Consent Decree will constitute or result in compliance with any federal or state law or regulation. Nothing in this Consent Decree shall be construed to affect or limit in any way the obligation of the Defendant to comply with all federal, state, and local laws and regulations governing any activity required by this Consent Decree.
- 60. <u>Counterparts</u>. This Consent Decree may be executed in any number of counterparts, all of which together shall constitute one original document. Telecopy and/or facsimile copies of original signature shall be deemed to be originally executed counterparts of this Consent Decree.
- 61. <u>Modification of the Consent Decree</u>. This Consent Decree, and any provisions herein, may not be changed, waived, discharged, or terminated unless by a written instrument, signed by the Parties.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

- 63. Integration Clause. This is an integrated Consent Decree. This Consent Decree is intended to be a full and complete statement of the terms of the agreement between the parties and expressly supersedes any and all prior oral or written agreements, covenants, representations, and warranties (express or implied) concerning the subject matter of this Consent Decree.
- Authority. The undersigned representatives for Plaintiff and Defendant each certify that s/he is fully authorized by the party whom s/he represents to enter into the terms and conditions of this Consent Decree.
- 65. The provisions of this Consent Decree apply to and bind the Parties, including any successors or assigns. The Parties certify that their undersigned representatives are fully authorized to enter into this Consent Decree, to execute it on behalf of the Parties, and to legally bind the Parties to its terms.
- 66. The Parties agree to be bound by this Consent Decree and not to contest its validity in any subsequent proceeding to implement or enforce its terms. By entering into this Consent Decree, the Defendant does not admit liability for any purpose as to any allegation or matter arising out of this Action.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the undersigned have executed this [Proposed] Consent Decree as of the date(s) set forth below.

LOS ANGELES WATERKEEPER

Dated:

28 March 2017

Melissa Kelly

Attorney for Plaintiff LA Waterkeeper

LOS ANGELES WATERKEEPER

			1 1
1	Dated:	28 March 2017	by:
2			Bruce Reznik Executive Director
3	6 		LA Waterkeeper
255.0			
5			CASTELLON & FUNDERBURK LLP
	Dated:	2 March 2017	by: //
6 7	Zuiva.	»_(!************************************	William W. Funderburk Jr.
8			Attorneys for Defendant Bell Foundry Co.
9			
10			BELL FOUNDRY CO.
11	Dated:	77 March 2017	
12	Dated;	2 <u>1</u> March 2017	by: A Cesar Cappellini,
13			President and CEO
14			
	TOTAL	CO ODDEDED.	
15	11.12	SO ORDERED:	<i>p</i> 0
15 16	****	May 22, 2017	Son 7. Whit
16	Date:_		Fronorable John F. Walter
16 17	****		DISTRICT COURT JUDGE
16 17 18	****		Honorable John F. Walter
16 17 18 19	****		DISTRICT COURT JUDGE
16 17 18 19 20	****		DISTRICT COURT JUDGE
16 17 18 19 20 21	****		DISTRICT COURT JUDGE
16 17 18 19 20 21	****		DISTRICT COURT JUDGE
16 117 118 119 220 221 222 23	****		DISTRICT COURT JUDGE
16   17   18   19   20   21   22   23   24	****		DISTRICT COURT JUDGE
16   17   18   19   20   21   22   23   24   25	****		DISTRICT COURT JUDGE
16   17   18   19   20   21   22   23   224   25   26	****		DISTRICT COURT JUDGE
16   17   18   19   20   21   22   23   24   25	****		DISTRICT COURT JUDGE

Case No.: 2:16-cv-07389-JFW-PJW

\*Rroposent Consent Decree

