Case No.: CV 16-7653 JLS (RAO)

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

## **CIVIL MINUTES – GENERAL**

Date:

February 22, 2017

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	0 1 10 7 000 020 (11110)	2000. 10010001				
Title:	Title: Tito Ace Thomas v. California Victim Compensation Program, et al.					
	T 11 DOCTOR					
Present: The Honorable <b>ROZELLA A. OLIVER, U.S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE</b>						
	Gay Roberson	N/A				
	Deputy Clerk	Court Reporter / Recorder				
	2 op any estern	Court responds 7 100 or use				
Attamassa Duagant for Dlaintiff(a).		Attornava Present for Defendent(s)				
Allo	rneys Present for Plaintiff(s):	Attorneys Present for Defendant(s):				
	N/A	N/A				

Proceedings: (In Chambers) ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE RE FAILURE TO TIMELY FILE A FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT

On September 30, 2016, Plaintiff Tito A. Thomas ("Plaintiff"), proceeding *pro se*, filed a civil rights complaint, presumably pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983 and/or § 1981 ("Complaint"). (Dkt. No. 1.) Plaintiff also filed a request to proceed *in forma pauperis*. (Dkt. No. 2.) On October 28, 2016, the Court granted Plaintiff's IFP request. (Dkt. No. 6.) However, after screening the Complaint pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2), the Court determined that this action was likely time-barred and that Plaintiff's pleading was deficient. Thus, on January 13, 2017, the Court dismissed the Complaint but granted Plaintiff leave to amend. (Dkt. No. 7.)

The Court's order dismissing the Complaint gave Plaintiff until February 13, 2017, to file a First Amended Complaint ("FAC"), and it warned Plaintiff that his failure to do so could result in the dismissal of this action. (Dkt. No. 7 at 10-11.) To date, Plaintiff has not submitted a FAC or any other response to the Court's order.

In light of the foregoing, **IT IS ORDERED** that Plaintiff must show cause, in writing, on or before <u>March 8, 2017</u>, why this case should not be dismissed for failure to prosecute and to comply with Court orders. Plaintiff's failure to timely respond to this Order will result in a recommendation that this case be dismissed without prejudice.

Alternatively, Plaintiff may comply with this order by filing a FAC that remedies the deficiencies noted in the Court's January 13 order dismissing the Complaint. As noted in that order, such a pleading must (a) be labeled "First Amended Complaint"; (b) be complete in and of itself and not refer in any manner to the original Complaint, *i.e.*, it must include all of the claims

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

## **CIVIL MINUTES – GENERAL**

Date: February 22, 2017

Case No.: CV 16-7653 JLS (RAO)

Case No.:	CV 16-7653 JLS (RAO)	Date:	February	22, 2017				
Title:	Tito Ace Thomas v. California Victim Compensation	Prograi	n, et al.					
on which Plaintiff seeks to proceed, Local Rule 15-2; (c) contain a "short plain" statement of the								
claim(s) for relief, see Fed. R. Civ. P. 8(a); (d) make each allegation "simple, concise and								
direct." Fe	ed. R. Civ. P. 8(d)(1); (e) make allegations in number	ed parag	graphs, "ea	ch limited	as			
far as practicable to a single set of circumstances." Fed. R. Civ. P. 10(b); (f) set forth clearly the								
sequence of events giving rise to the claims for relief; and (g) allege with sufficient specificity								
what each defendant did and how that individual's conduct violated Plaintiff's civil rights.								
What cach	defendant did und now that marvidual 5 conduct violate	oa i iaiii	um s civin	iigiits.				
If D	Plaintiff no longer wishes to proceed in this action he r	nav evn	edite matte	re by cionir	ıσ			
If Plaintiff no longer wishes to proceed in this action, he may expedite matters by signing								
and returning the attached <b>Notice of Dismissal</b> by the foregoing deadline, which will result in the								
voluntary dismissal of this action without prejudice.								
IT.	IS SO ORDERED.							
Atta	achments.							
				:				
	Initi	ials of P	reparer _	gr				