

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

WARREN MAURAN, an individual,
Plaintiff,
v.
WAL-MART STORES, INC., a
Delaware Corporation; and DOES 1-
10, business entities, DOES 11-20,
individuals; DOES 21-30, inclusive,
Defendants

Case No. 2:16-cv-07808-RGK-JC
Assigned to: Hon. R. Gary Klausner

**[PROPOSED] JUDGMENT FOR
DEFENDANT WAL-MART
STORES, INC.**

*[Filed concurrently with: Memorandum
of Points and Authorities; Statement of
Uncontroverted Material Facts and
Conclusions of Law; Declarations;
Appendix of Evidence; Proposed Order
of Judgment]*

Hearing Date: September 11, 2017
Time: 9:00 a.m.
Courtroom: 850

Pretrial Conf.: October 2, 2017
Trial: October 24, 2017

Defendant Wal-Mart Stores, Inc.’s (“Walmart”) motion for summary judgment, or in the alternative partial summary judgment brought under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 56, on the Complaint of Plaintiff Warren Mauran (“Plaintiff”), came on regularly before the Honorable R. Gary Klausner.


1 The Court having read and duly considered the moving, opposing, and reply
2 documents, and having duly considered all evidence and argument presented in
3 connection therewith, enters the following order on Walmart's motion for Summary
4 Judgment as follows:

- 5 (1) Walmart is entitled to summary judgment on Plaintiff's first cause of
6 action for age discrimination under the California Fair Employment
7 and Housing Act ("FEHA").
- 8 (2) Walmart is entitled to summary judgment on Plaintiff's second cause
9 of action for failure to prevent discrimination under the FEHA.
- 10 (3) Walmart is entitled to summary judgment on Plaintiff's third cause of
11 action for wrongful termination in violation of public policy.
- 12 (4) Walmart is entitled to summary judgment on Plaintiff's fourth cause of
13 action for declaratory relief.
- 14 (5) Walmart is entitled to summary judgment on Plaintiff's fifth cause of
15 action for defamation.

16 WHEREFORE, Defendant Walmart is granted summary judgment in this
17 case and the Complaint is hereby dismissed with prejudice, reasonable costs to be
18 awarded.

19 IT IS SO ORDERED.

20
21 Dated: SEP 25 2017 2017

22 
23 R. Gary Klauser
24 United States District Court Judge