

NOTE: CHANGES HAVE BEEN
MADE TO THIS DOCUMENT

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA – WESTERN DIVISION

YOUTH JUSTICE COALITION, a non-profit organization; PETER ARELLANO and JOSE REZA, individuals, for themselves and on behalf of a class of similarly-situated individuals,

Plaintiffs,

vs.

CITY OF LOS ANGELES, et al.,

Defendants.

Case No.: 2:16-cv-07932-VAP-RAO

Complaint Filed: October 25, 2016

**ORDER RE: PARTIES’
STIPULATED PROTECTIVE
ORDER**

1 WHEREAS the Court has considered the Joint Stipulation regarding the
2 Protective Order and the Parties Stipulated Protective Order filed on October 19,
3 2017, by (a) Plaintiffs Youth Justice Coalition, and Peter Arellano and Jose Reza,
4 for themselves and on behalf of a class of similarly-situated individuals, and (b)
5 Defendant City of Los Angeles, (collectively “Parties”);


6 WHEREAS the Parties have conferred and agreed to enter into a Stipulated
7 Protective Order to protect the confidentiality of documents and information that
8 may be produced during the course of this matter, and to facilitate the production
9 of such documents and information;

10 WHEREAS the Parties have conferred and agreed that the Stipulated
11 Protective Order sets forth the conditions under which confidential documents and
12 information in possession of the Parties must be exchanged, used, and protected in
13 this litigation;

14 WHEREAS the Court finds good cause to enter the Stipulated Protective
15 Order, because investigation and discovery activity in this action are likely to
16 involve the production of confidential, proprietary, or private information for
17 which special protections from public disclosure and from use for any purpose
18 other than prosecuting or defending this litigation or any related appellate
19 proceeding;

20 Based on the above-referenced facts, representations, and promises, and
21 good cause appearing therefor, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT the Protective
22 Order is approved and effective as of the date of execution of this Order, *with the*
23 *exception that the Court strikes the last sentence in Paragraph 8.*

24
25 IT IS SO ORDERED.



26
27 DATED: October 23, 2017

28

ROZELLA A. OLIVER
United States Magistrate Judge