John Doe v. Damier	Vazquez et al		Doc. 24
1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	UNITED STATES	S DISTRICT COURT	
9	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA – WESTERN DIVISION		
10			
11	JOHN DOE, an individual,	Case No.: CV 17-00234-BRO-(JEMx)	
12	Plaintiff,		
13	v.		
14	DAMIEN VAZOUEZ, an individual	ORDER RE: PLAINTIFF'S REQUEST FOR PRELIMINARY	
15	DAMIEN VAZQUEZ, an individual, and DOES 1 to 10, inclusive,	REQUEST FOR PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION	
16	Defendants.		
17			
18	-		
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28		1	
	ORDER		
		Dockets.Ju	ustia.com
	II		1

1 2

3

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

1415

16

17

18

19

2021

22

23

2425

26

27

28

TO THE CLERK OF THE ABOVE-ENTITLED COURT, TO THE PARTIES AND THEIR ATTORNEYS OF RECORD:

Plaintiff John Doe's ("Plaintiff") Ex Parte Application for Temporary Restraining Order and Order to Show Cause RE: Preliminary Injunction and Order for Expedited Discovery ("Ex Parte Application") came on for hearing before this Court on January 25, 2017, at 9:00 a.m., in Courtroom 7C of the above-entitled Court, the Honorable Beverly Reid O'Connell presiding. The appearances of parties were as noted on the record. In his Ex Parte Application, Plaintiff also requested that the Court enter a preliminary injunction. Accordingly, on January 25, 2017, the Court granted in part Plaintiff's Ex Parte Application, entered a temporary restraining order, and ordered Defendant Damien Vazquez ("Defendant") to show cause why the Court should not issue a preliminary injunction. Good cause appearing, the Court extended the initial temporary restraining order for an additional fourteen days. Subsequently, on February 21, 2017, at 8:30 a.m., the Court held a second hearing to determine whether to issue a preliminary injunction in this matter. After considering the moving papers and all other matters presented, and with good cause appearing, the Court rules as follows: Plaintiff's request for a preliminary injunction is GRANTED.

I. PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION

IT IS ORDERED THAT:

1. Defendant Damien Vazquez ("Defendant") shall remove and forever purge the private nude or semi-nude pictures that were obtained from Plaintiff's mobile phone without authorization;

- 2. Defendant shall not take, or cause to be taken, any nude or semi-nude pictures of Plaintiff without consent unless Plaintiff is appearing in public. Furthermore, Defendant shall not distribute Plaintiff's nude or semi-nude photographs taken without consent to any third parties, including, but not limited to, friends, colleagues, and/or relatives;
- 3. Defendant shall not post nude or semi-nude pictures of Plaintiff taken without consent on any social media websites, including, but not limited to, Facebook, Twitter, Instagram, Tumblr, or Snapchat;
- 4. Defendant shall not contact Plaintiff's modeling/acting agents and industry professionals to interfere with or ruin Plaintiff's business relationships;
- 5. Defendant shall not contact Plaintiff's mother and current/former friends to damage his reputation;
- 6. Defendant shall not spoof mobile numbers in order to contact the following parties: Plaintiff's friends, colleagues, and/or relatives to damage his reputation;
- 7. Defendant shall not disrupt Plaintiff's professional efforts and shall cease and desist from alarming, annoying, or harassing Plaintiff without justification;
- 8. Defendant shall not remit or attempt to remit funds towards Plaintiff's friends to divulge Plaintiff's personal information, including, but not limited to, phone number(s);
- 9. Defendant shall not communicate with or reach out to Plaintiff's fans in an effort to ruin Plaintiff's reputation;
- 10.Defendant shall not come within 500 feet of Plaintiff and his immediate family for during the duration of the restraining order; and
- 11.Defendant shall not communicate with Plaintiff and his immediate family in an alarming, harassing, threatening, annoying, or otherwise damaging manner.

1	II. RETENTION OF JURISDICTION		
2	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this Court shall retain jurisdiction of		
3	this matter for all purposes of construction, modification, and enforcement of this		
4	Order.		
5			
6	III. ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF RECEIPT OF ORDER BY		
7	<u>DEFENDANT</u>		
8	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Defendant, within three (3)		
9	business days of receipt of this Order, must submit to Plaintiff's counsel a		
10	sworn statement acknowledging receipt of this Order.		
11			
12	IT IS SO ORDERED, this 22nd day of February, 2017, at 4:00 PM, Pacific Daylight Time.		
13	Tacine Dayngitt Time.		
14	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
15			
16	DATED: February 22, 2017		
17	Du Bulled		
18	By: Honorable Beverly R. O'Connell		
19	United States District Court Judge		
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
20 27			
2 <i>1</i> 28			
۷۵ ا			