John Doe v. Damier	Vazquez et al		JS-6 Doc. 4	41
4	Salay Atvigadah Esa (SDN: 255650)			
1	Salar Atrizadeh, Esq. (SBN: 255659) LAW OFFICES OF SALAR ATRIZADEH 9701 Wilshire Blvd., 10 <sup>th</sup> Floor Beverly Hills, CA 90212 Telephone: 310-694-3034 Facsimile: 310-694-3057			
2	Beverly Hills, CA 90212			
3	Facsimile: 310-694-3057 Email: salar@atrizadeh.com			
4				
5 6	Attorney for Plaintiff JOHN DOE			
7				
8	UNITED STATES	DISTRICT COURT		
9	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA – WESTERN DIVISION			
10				
11	JOHN DOE, an individual,	Case No.: 2:17-cv-00234-BRO-JEN	1	
12	Plaintiff,			
13	v.			
14		JUDGMENT		
15	DAMIEN VAZQUEZ, an individual, and DOES 1 to 10, inclusive,			
16	Defendants.			
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				
	JUD	GMENT		
		Di	ockets.Justia.co	m

## 

## TO ALL INTERESTED PARTIES AND THEIR ATTORNEYS OF RECORD, IF ANY:

It appears from the records in the above-entitled action that the Summons and Complaint have been served upon Defendant Damien Vazquez and it further appears from Plaintiff John Doe's Application for Default Judgment, declarations, and other evidence, as required by F.R.Civ.P. 55(a)-(b), that Defendant has failed to plead or otherwise defend in the above-captioned action as directed in the Summons and Complaint and as provided in the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. It appears that Defendant is not a minor or incompetent person, is not currently serving in the military, is not an officer or an agency of the United States, and is not a foreign state or a political subdivision, agency, or instrumentality of a foreign state. It also appears that Plaintiff's claim is for a sum certain or a sum that can be made certain by computation.

The Court hereby enters default judgment in favor of Plaintiff against Defendant on the following causes of action: (1) Invasion of privacy; (2) Civil harassment in violation of California Code of Civil Procedure § 527.6; (3) Civil stalking in violation of California Code of Civil Procedure § 1708.7 et seq.; (4) Cyber exploitation in violation of California Code of Civil Procedure § 1708.85 et seq.; and (5) Intentional interference with prospective economic advantage. Furthermore, the Court awards to Plaintiff damages in the amount of \$97,593.15, as well as attorney's fees in the amount of \$5,503.73. The total amount of the judgment, which is \$103,096.88, shall bear post-judgment interest at a rate calculated in accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 1961.

IT IS SO ORDERED. DATED: May 12, 2017

By:

Honorable Beverly R. O'Connell United States District Court Judge

\_\_\_\_\_\_